Dark Matter in multi-inert doublet models

Venus Keus

University of Helsinki & Helsinki Institute of Physics



Based on JHEP 1411 (2014) 016, JHEP 1511 (2015) 003 and work in progress with S. King & S. Moretti & D. Sokolowska J. Hernandez & A. Cordero & D. Rojas

UC Davis 25/01/2016



I(1+1)HDM

I(2+1)HDM



<ロト < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 王国 の < で</p>

Higgs particle discovered

 $\bullet~2012$ – a Higgs boson discovered at the LHC

ATLAS: $M_h = 125.36 \text{ GeV}$ CMS: $M_h = 125.03 \text{ GeV}$

- very SM-like
- yet we do expect some New Physics to exist
 - Dark Matter
 - neutrino masses
 - baryon asymmetry and baryogenesis
 - extra source of CP violation
 - vacuum stability
 - ...

Motivation

Dark Matter (DM)

around 25 % of the Universe is:

- \bullet cold
- non-baryonic
- neutral
- very weakly interacting

 \Rightarrow Weakly Interacting Massive Particle

• stable due to the discrete symmetry

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{DM} \ \mathrm{DM} \to \mathrm{SM} \ \mathrm{SM}}_{\text{pair annihilation}}, \quad \underbrace{\mathrm{DM} \not\to \mathrm{SM}, \dots}_{\text{stable}}$$

Higgs-portal DM

Simplest realisation: the SM with $\Phi_{SM} + Z_2$ -odd scalar S:

$$S \to -S$$
, SM fields \to SM fields
 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial S)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{DM}^2 S^2 - \lambda_{DM} S^4 - \lambda_{hDM} \Phi_{SM}^2 S^2$

Higgs-portal interaction:



given by the same coupling

Venus Keus (Helsinki)

NIDM

200

不同下 イヨト イヨト

The Inert Doublet Model I(1+1)HDM

2HDM with 1 Inert and 1 Higgs doublet

Venus Keus (Helsinki)

35
Votivatio

A 2HDM

A Two Higgs Doublet Model:

- two scalar $SU(2)_W$ doublets Φ_1, Φ_2 with the hypercharge Y = +1
- rich phenomenology: different types of vacua, hierarchy in Yukawa couplings, CP violation in the scalar sector, baryogenesis, ...
- 2HDM with an exact Z_2 symmetry: the Inert Doublet Model

 \rightarrow SM-like Higgs boson

 \rightarrow a Dark Matter candidate

The Inert Doublet Model

Scalar potential V invariant under a Z_2 -transformation:

$$Z_2: \quad \Phi_1 \to \Phi_1, \quad \Phi_2 \to -\Phi_2, \quad \text{SM fields} \to \text{SM fields}$$

$$V = -\frac{1}{2} \left[m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\lambda_1 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)^2 + \lambda_2 \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 \right] + \lambda_3 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) + \lambda_4 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_5 \left[\left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \left(\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)^2 \right]$$

- whole Lagrangian explicitly Z_2 -symmetric
- all parameters are real no CP violation
- Yukawa interaction: Model I, only Φ_1 couples to fermions

The inert minimum:

$$\langle \Phi_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \Phi_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The inert minimum

Inert extremum

$$\langle \Phi_1 \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \Phi_2 \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Φ_1 active as in SM (SM-like Higgs boson h) Φ_2 "dark" or inert doublet with 4 dark scalars (H, A, H^{\pm}) , no interaction with fermions
- exact Z_2 -symmetry both in Lagrangian and in the extremum
- only Φ_2 has odd Z_2 -parity

 \rightarrow the lightest scalar is a candidate for the dark matter

3 5										
A	4	0	t		V		t	0		

Constraints

- (1) Vacuum stability: scalar potential V bounded from below
- (2) Existence of the Inert vacuum: a *global* minimum of V
- (3) **Perturbative unitarity**: eigenvalues Λ_i of the high-energy scattering matrix fulfill the condition $|\Lambda_i| < 8\pi$
- (4) **Higgs mass**: $M_h = 125 \text{ GeV}$

 $(1)-(4) \Rightarrow m_{22}^2 \lesssim 9 \cdot 10^4 \, {\rm GeV}^2, \; \lambda_1 = 0.258, \; \lambda_2 < 8.38, \; \lambda_3, \lambda_{345} > -1.47,$

(5) **EWPT & LEP**: bounds on masses of the scalars

 $M_H \lesssim 10 \, {\rm GeV}, \quad 40 \, {\rm GeV} < M_H < 150 \, {\rm GeV}, \quad M_H \gtrsim 500 \, {\rm GeV}$

$$M_{H^{\pm}} \gtrsim 70 - 90 \text{ GeV}$$

 $\delta_A = M_A - M_H < 8 \,\text{GeV} \Rightarrow M_H + M_A > M_Z$

excluded : $M_H < 80~{\rm GeV}, M_A < 100~{\rm GeV}$ and $\delta_A > 8~{\rm GeV}$

Relic density constraints

(6) *H* as DM candidate: $M_H < M_A, M_{H^{\pm}}$ with proper $\Omega_{DM} h^2$

 $0.1118 < \Omega_{DM} h^2 < 0.128$

 $\lambda_{345} \sim g_{HHh}$ and M_i

- Strongly constrained by LHC and DD:
 - low DM mass $M_H \lesssim 10 \text{ GeV}, \lambda_{345} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.5)$
 - medium DM mass $M_H \approx (40 160)$ GeV, $\lambda_{345} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.05)$
- DD sensitivity very low:
 - high DM mass $M_H \gtrsim 500 \text{ GeV}, \lambda_{345} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$

I(2+1)HDM

3HDM with 2 Inert and 1 Higgs doublet

Venus Keus (Helsinki)

I(2+1)HDM

 Z_2 -symmetry in I(2+1)HDM:

 $\phi_1 \to -\phi_1, \ \phi_2 \to -\phi_2, \quad \phi_3 \to \phi_3, \ {\rm SM \ fields} \to {\rm SM \ fields}$

 Z_2 -invariant potential:

$$V = \sum_{i}^{3} \left[-|\mu_{i}^{2}|(\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{i}) + \lambda_{ii}(\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{i})^{2} \right]$$

+
$$\sum_{ij}^{3} \left[\lambda_{ij}(\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{i})(\phi_{j}^{\dagger}\phi_{j}) + \lambda_{ij}'(\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{j})(\phi_{j}^{\dagger}\phi_{i}) \right]$$

+
$$\left(-\mu_{12}^{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{1}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})^{2} + \lambda_{3}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})^{2} + h.c. \right)$$

- all parameters real
- Yukawa interaction: "Model I"-type (only ϕ_3 couples to fermions)
- explicit Z_2 -symmetry

Venus Keus (Helsinki)

DM in I(2+1)HDM

 Z_2 -invariant vacuum state:

$$\phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1^+ \\ \frac{H_1^+ + iA_1^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ \frac{H_2^0 + iA_2^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ \frac{v + h + iG^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- ϕ_3 SM-like doublet with SM-like Higgs h
- Z_2 -odd doublets ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 mix:

 $H_1 = \cos \alpha_H H_1^0 + \sin \alpha_H H_2^0, \quad H_2 = \cos \alpha_H H_2^0 - \sin \alpha_H H_1^0$

(similar for A_i and H_i^{\pm})

- 4 neutral and 4 charged Z_2 -odd particles (double the IDM)
- $H_1 \mathbf{DM}$ candidate, other dark particles heavier

Dark Matter Annihilation

• annihilation through Higgs into fermions; dominant channel for $M_{DM} < M_h/2$



• annihilation to gauge bosons; crucial for heavy masses



• coannihilation; when particles have similar masses



DM Annihilation Scenarios

(A) no coannihilation effects: $M_{H_1} < M_{H_2,A_1,A_2,H_1^{\pm},H_2^{\pm}}$ (D) coannihilation with $H_2, A_{1,2}$: $M_{H_1} \approx M_{A_1} \approx M_{H_2} \approx M_{A_2} < M_{H_1^{\pm}, H_2^{\pm}}$ (G) coannihilation with $H_2, A_{1,2}, H_{1,2}^{\pm}$: $M_{H_1} \approx M_{A_1} \approx M_{H_2} \approx M_{A_2} \approx M_{H_{\pm}^{\pm}, H_{\pm}^{\pm}}$ (H) coannihilation with A_1, H_1^{\pm} : $M_{H_1} \approx M_{A_1} \approx H_1^{\pm} < M_{H_2, A_2, H_2^{\pm}}$

Motivation

LHC vs Planck $M_{DM} < M_h/2$



• $Br(h \rightarrow inv) < 37\%$ & $\Omega_{DM}h^2 \Rightarrow$

• Case A: $M_{DM} \gtrsim 53 \,\text{GeV}$ • Case D: most masses are OK

Planck constraints: $M_{DM} > M_h/2$





▲ 王 ► 王 = • • • • •

Direct detection



Case D: new region in agreement with LUX with respect to Case A

200

Heavy mass regime $M_{DM} > M_W$

- case H like the I(1+1)DM: $M_{H_1} \gtrsim 525$ GeV
- case G new region: $M_{H_1} \gtrsim 360 \text{ GeV}$



Direct detection



Case G: new region in agreement with LUX with respect to Case H

ヨヨ のへへ

LHC signals: monojet channels

Monojet channels $gg \to gH_1H_1, q\bar{q} \to gH_1H_1, qg \to qH_1H_1$



Image: A matrix and a matrix

== nac

LHC signals: dijet channels

Dijet channels $pp \rightarrow H_1H_1 + 2$ jets:

- Vector Boson Fusion $q_i q_j \rightarrow H_1 H_1 q_k q_l$
- Higgs-Strahlung $q_i \bar{q}_j \to V^* H_1 H_1$



Indirect searches

• I(1+1)HDM:

indirect detection signatures: internal bremsstrahlung in the processes of $H_1H_1 \rightarrow W^+W^-\gamma$ mediated by a charged scalar in the *t*-channel.

• I(2+1)HDM

same signature generated through the exchange of any of the two charged scalars $H_{1,2}^{\pm}$.

The signal could even be stronger for scenario G with larger scalar couplings.

Summary

- $\bullet~\mathrm{I}(1{+}1)\mathrm{DM}$
 - a good DM model with rich phenomenology, however, very constrained.
- I(2+1)HDM
 - viable DM candidate
 - large dark sector
 - \rightarrow In the light mass region: 46 GeV $\lesssim m_{DM} \lesssim 62$ GeV
 - \rightarrow In the heavy mass region: 360 GeV $\lesssim m_{DM} \lesssim 525~{\rm GeV}$
 - Observable at the LHC

3.0		1.1			10 A 40 A					
1	Λ.	t.		V		t.				

I(1+1)HDM

I(2+1)HDM

Outlook

- CP-Violation in I(2+1)HDM
 - SM-like active sector: $H_3 \equiv h^{SM}$
 - CPV in the inert sector: $H_{1,2}, A_{1,2} \rightarrow S_{1,2,3,4}$ CPV DM
 - New observables at the LHC: $S_i S_j Z$ vertices
- CP-Violation in I(1+2)HDM
 - IDM-like inert sector: CPC DM
 - CPV in the active sector: $\tilde{H}_1, \tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_3$
 - Interesting LHC phenomenology

BACKUP SLIDES

Monojet diagrams



UC Davis 25/01/2016 28/26

200

Neutral VBF diagrams



UC Davis 25/01/2016 29/26

590

글 =

HS diagrams



UC Davis 25/01/2016 30/26

< □ > < 凸

÷.

三日 のへで

Boltzmann equation:

$$\frac{dn_S}{dt} = -3Hn_S - \langle \sigma_{eff} v \rangle (n_S^2 - n_S^{eq \ 2}), \qquad S = H_1, H_2, A_1, A_2,$$

where the thermally averaged effective (co)annihilation cross-section contains all relevant scattering processes of any $S_i S_j$ pair into SM particles:

$$\langle \sigma_{eff} v \rangle = \sum_{ij} \langle \sigma_{ij} v_{ij} \rangle \frac{n_i^{eq}}{n_S^{eq}} \frac{n_j^{eq}}{n_S^{eq}},$$

where

$$\frac{n_i^{eq}}{n_S^{eq}} \sim \exp(-\frac{m_i - m_S}{T}).$$

Therefore, only processes in which the mass splitting between a state S_i and the lightest Z_2 -odd particle S (H_1 in our case) are comparable to the thermal bath temperature T provide a sizeable contribution to this sum.

CPV in I(1+2)HDM



The ratio of decay rates of \tilde{H}_1 to those of the SM Higgs boson $h_{\rm SM}$ as a function of λ_5^i .

UC Davis 25/01/2016 32/26

200



The ratio of decay rates of \tilde{H}_1 to those of the SM Higgs boson $h_{\rm SM}$ as a function of λ_5^i .

UC Davis 25/01/2016 33/26

1

== - - Q (~

CPV in I(1+2)HDM



The coefficient of the gauge-gauge-scalar type couplings as a function of λ_5^i .

200

CPV in I(1+2)HDM



The coefficient of the gauge-gauge-scalar type couplings as a function of λ_5^i .

= 200