Holography: a tool for the LHC

Veroníca Sanz

Boston University



BOSTON UNIVERSITY PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

What are you gonna do if dynamical symmetry breaking shows up at the LHC?



What are you gonna do if dynamical symmetry breaking shows up at the LHC?

> Wait for lattice computations...



What are you gonna do íf dynamícal symmetry breaking shows up at the LHC?

> Wait for lattice computations...

use Holography



Holographic QCD

QCD and a holographic model of hadrons. Erlich, Katz, Son and Stephanov. **PRL95 (2005)**

Chiral symmetry breaking from five dimensional spaces.

Da Rold and Pomarol. NPB721 (2005)

Interpolating between low and high energy QCD via a 5-D YM model. Hirn and Sanz. JHEP 0512 (2005)

Holographic Technicolo

A Negative S parameter from holographic technicolor. Hirn and Sanz. PRL973 (2006)

The Fifth dimension as an analogue computer for strong interactions at the LHC. Hirn and Sanz. JHEP???







We know Holography works for QCD



Summary



We know Holography works for QCD



We apply it to EWSB



Summary



We know Holography works for QCD



We apply it to EWSB



Explore the pheno



BOSTON UNIVERSITY PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

We have checked that



Holography Works for

RCD



what do we know about 4D QCD?

many things, but in particular...



what do we know about 4D QCD?

At high energies scale invariant, except NP dynamics, Condensates $\langle GG
angle, \langle qar q
angle\ldots
eq 0$



what do we know about 4D QCD?

At high energies scale invariant, except NP dynamics, Condensates $\langle GG
angle, \langle qar q
angle\ldots
eq 0$

OPE expansion

$$\Pi_{OPE}(Q) \sim N_c \log(Q), \frac{\alpha_s \langle GG \rangle}{Q^4}, \frac{\alpha_s \langle q\bar{q} \rangle^2}{Q^6} \dots$$



what do we know about 4D QCD?

At high energies scale invariant, except NP dynamics, Condensates $\langle GG
angle, \langle qar q
angle\ldots
eq 0$

OPE expansion

$$\Pi_{OPE}(Q) \sim N_c \log(Q), \frac{\alpha_s \langle GG \rangle}{Q^4}, \frac{\alpha_s \langle q\bar{q} \rangle^2}{Q^6} \dots$$

Global symmetries of QCD? Chiral Symmetry

 $SU(N_f)_L \times SU(N_f)_R$

broken by $\langle q ar q
angle$



Scale invariant



Scale invariant $\rightarrow AdS$

$$ds^2 = w(z)^2(dx^2-dz^2)$$
 If $w(z) = rac{l_0}{z}$ then $z o \lambda z$ $Q o Q/\lambda$



 $\Pi(Q) \sim log(Q) \qquad AdS$ $Q \leftrightarrow 1/z$





 \square scale invariant \rightarrow Ads

But wait! I thought the *dictionary* had an entry





 \square Scale invariant \rightarrow AdS

But wait! I thought the *dictionary* had an entry "weak in 4D, strong in 5D"





 \square Scale invariant \rightarrow AdS

But wait! I thought the *dictionary* had an entry "weak in 4D, strong in 5D"

QCD at high energies is weak, right?



BOSTON UNIVERSITY PHYSICS DEPARTMENT



Scale invariant \rightarrow AdS

But wait! I thought the *dictionary* had an entry "weak in 4D, strong in 5D"

QCD at high energies is weak, right?



NO 5D weakly coupled description!



BOSTON UNIVERSITY PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

But before letting it go and going home...

We use the correspondence

$$J_{\mu} = \bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q \longleftrightarrow A_{\mu}$$

the action is 5D YM

$$\mathcal{S} = \int \sqrt{g} \, d^5 x \, (F_{MN}^2)$$



But before letting it go and going home...

We use the correspondence

$$J_{\mu} = \bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q \longleftrightarrow A_{\mu}$$

the action is 5D YM, and we lose control when h.o.

$$\mathcal{S} = \int \sqrt{g} \, d^5 x \, \left(F_{MN}^2 + \frac{(DF)^2}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{FD^2F}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{FD^4F}{\Lambda^4} \dots \right)$$

"weak in 4D, strong in 5D"

in reality, "at scales around Λ 1'm stuck!"



All that goes for the 't Hooft coupling, we're still in the large-N !



But before letting it go and going home...

BUT

In AdS/CFT all the computations are ON-SHELL

 $D^2 F \sim F$

$${\cal S} = \int \sqrt{g} \, d^5 x \, {\rm a} \, F_{MN}^2 + \int_{z=z_{IR}} \, d^4 x \, {\rm b} \, F_{\mu
u}^2$$

In general A_{μ} fields may couple to other fields

They may see a different effective metric

Cubic terms DO receive corrections

$$\frac{F^3}{\Lambda^2} + \frac{(DF)^2}{\Lambda^4}F + \dots$$



BOSTON UNIVERSITY PHYSICS DEPARTMENT

Scale invariant $\rightarrow AdS$

Quark condensate

 $\frac{\alpha_s \langle q\bar{q}\rangle^2}{Q^6} \longrightarrow \alpha_s \langle q\bar{q}\rangle^2 \ z^6$



Scale invariant \rightarrow AdS

Quark condensate \longrightarrow bulk scalar



Scale invariant \rightarrow AdS

Quark condensate \longrightarrow bulk scalar

Chíral symmetries



Scale invariant → AdS Quark condensate → bulk scalar Chiral symmetries → Bulk gauge symmetries

$\overline{SU(N_f)_L} imes \overline{SU(N_f)_R}$ $V_M, A_M \propto L_M \pm R_M$



Scale invariant → AdS Quark condensate → bulk scalar Chiral symmetries → Bulk gauge symmetries Chiral symmetry breaking



Scale invariant → AdS Quark condensate → bulk scalar Chiral symmetries → Bulk gauge symmetries Chiral symmetry breaking → bulk scalar couplings

 A_M couples to $X(z)\sim z^3$



Scale invariant → AdS Quark condensate → bulk scalar Chiral symmetries → Bulk gauge symmetries Chiral symmetry breaking → bulk scalar couplings

> 5D model in AdSX(z) Charged under the bulk YM $SU(N_f)_L imes SU(N_f)_R$ and M_X chosen to $X(z)=Az+Bz^3$



Scale invariant → AdS Quark condensate → bulk scalar Chiral symmetries → Bulk gauge symmetries Chiral symmetry breaking → bulk scalar couplings

5D parameters (A, B, l_1) 4D parameters $f_{\pi}, m_q, \langle q \bar{q} \rangle$

X(z) Charged under the bulk YM $SU(N_f)_L imes SU(N_f)_R$ and M_X chosen to $X(z)=Az+Bz^3$

5D model in Ads



And the result is...

POSTON UNILE

And the result is...

		Measured	Model
Agreement to the 10%!	Observable	$({ m MeV})$	(MeV)
	m_{π}	$139.6 {\pm} 0.0004$	141
	$m_{ ho}$	$775.8{\pm}0.5$	832
	m_{a_1}	$1230{\pm}40$	1220
	f_{π}	$92.4 {\pm} 0.35$	84.0
	$F_{ ho}^{1/2}$	345 ± 8	353
	$F_{a_1}^{1/2}$	433 ± 13	440
	$g_{ ho\pi\pi}$	$6.03{\pm}0.07$	5.29

Erlich et al PRL95 (05)



And the result is...

		Measured	Model
	Observable	$({ m MeV})$	(MeV)
	m_{π}	$139.6 {\pm} 0.0004$	141
tgreement	$m_{ ho}$	$775.8{\pm}0.5$	832
o the 10%!	m_{a_1}	$1230{\pm}40$	1220
	f_{π}	$92.4 {\pm} 0.35$	84.0
	$F_{ ho}^{1/2}$	345 ± 8	353
	$F_{a_1}^{1/2}$	$433{\pm}13$	440
	$\bigtriangleup g_{\rho\pi\pi}$	$6.03{\pm}0.07$	5.29
Itísaз	pt function!		

Erlich et al PRL95 (05)



4%

Even though QCD is NOT

Supersymmetric (very) large N_c



Even though QCD is NOT

Supersymmetric (very) large N_c

But seems to be quite conformalSubstitute X(z) by Neumann, Dirichlet BCs and the agreement is still

15%

Hirn & Sanz. JHEP 0512



News from last 2 years

You can apply Holography to QCD and it works!












Holographic Technicolor

Hirn & Sanz. PRL95(06)



Let's start again,





Let's start again,

5D model in AdS, LR bulk. some



Let's start again,







And here it is,

5D model in AdS, LR bulk, some X(z) 's





5D model in AdS, LR bulk, some X(z) 's







Does it mean we can do whatever, and get whatever?





Does it mean we can do whatever, and get whatever?

Apparently, YES







Does it mean we can do whatever, and get whatever?

Apparently,

YES

In detaíl, NOT really



What are the rules?

What's the valid approximation?

 Always large-N
 (weak coupling in 5D)

 No localized kin terms to play with



What are the rules?





What are the rules?

$$w(z) = \frac{l_0}{z} \left(1 + \frac{o_d}{2d(d-1)} \frac{z^{2d}}{l_1^{2d}} \right)$$

1. towards IR

2. Coefficient irrelevant as d grows





What are the rules? At the end of the day...

1. Large-N (5D weak coupling)

2. Pheno relevant condensates

just low d $o_2^V, o_2^A \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$



Technical point

 $\Pi(Q^2)_{OPE} \sim \frac{1}{Q^{2d}}$

NDA (n X(z) $w(z) \sim \frac{1}{d(d-1)} z^{2d} \Rightarrow \Pi \sim \frac{d!^2}{Q^{2d}}$



Technical point

 $\Pi(Q^2)_{OPE} \sim \frac{1}{Q^{2d}}$

NDA (n X(z) $w(z) \sim \frac{1}{d(d-1)} z^{2d} \Rightarrow \Pi \sim \frac{d!^2}{Q^{2d}}$

Shífman





Now we know the rules,

Let's look at the phenomenology



5D model dual to Technicolor?





FOULT AND A STATE OF A STATE OF

$$S = S_{tree} + \frac{1}{12\pi} \left(\log \left(\frac{\mu^2}{m_H^2} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \right) = -0.13 \pm 0.1 \left(PDG \right)$$



$$S = S_{tree} + \frac{1}{12\pi} \left(\log \left(\frac{\mu^2}{m_H^2} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \right) = -0.13 \pm 0.1 \, (PDG)$$
$$\sim 0.1 - 0.2$$





what's typical value for S in TC? (rescaled QCD) $S_{tree} \sim rac{N}{4\pi}$



SD model dual to Technicolor? Problem with the S parameter!

what's typical value for S in TC?

$$S_{tree} \sim rac{N}{4\pi}$$

What's the value in pure AdS?

$$S_{tree} = \frac{N}{4\pi}$$

as in QCD...

$$N = \frac{12\pi^2 l_0}{g_5^2}$$

vhat's typical value for S in TC?
$$S_{
m constant} \sim$$

$$S_{tree} \sim rac{N}{4\pi}$$

What's the value in pure AdS?

$$S_{tree} = \frac{N}{4\pi} > 0$$

λT

Csakí et al. Barbíerí et al.

as in QCD...

$$N = \frac{12\pi^2 l_0}{g_5^2}$$





LOW N





SD model dual to Technicolor? Problem with the S parameter!







$S_{tree} = \frac{N}{4\pi} > 0$





$S_{tree} = rac{N}{4\pi} > 0$ — "flat" fermions





$S_{tree} = \frac{N}{4\pi} > 0$ — "flat" fermions Flavor (



 $S_{tree} = \frac{N}{4\pi} > 0$ — "flat" fermions





what's the value of S in HolQCD?



what's the value of S in HolQCD?












BOSTON UNIVERSITY PHYSICS DEPARTMENT



A simple thing that DOES work



Neutral, couples to gravity Charged, EWSB



A simple thing that DOES work



Charged, EWSB

 $v^2 > 0$

A simple thing that DOES work









Consistent with negative S and Witten's positivity condition



Consistent with negative S and Witten's positivity condition







For example,



Conclusions

Holography tested on QCD

Rules of the game: •Large-N •NDA for condensates •Quadratic quantities

Rích Pheno (weakly coupled 600 GeV-1 TeV resonances)





and a technical point from QCD to TC...

 $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ UV sources now DYNAMICAL! $_{\sim}SU(N_{f})_{L} imes SU(N_{f})_{R}$

In QCD no dependence on l_0 but TC different



BOSTON UNIVERSITY PHYSICS DEPARTMENT