Beyond-the-Standard Model Higgs Results from ATLAS and CMS

Stephen Sekula Southern Methodist University On behalf of the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations





Presented at "The LHC Higgs Signal: Characterization, Interpretation and BSM Model Implications" University of California – Davis April 22, 2013

SMU. | DEDMAN COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES & SCIENCES

Ba



The Cathedral of Dark Matter

This week: "How, exactly, do I have mass?"

MSSM-Inspired Searches



Stephen J. Sekula - SMU

Important Features

$$\begin{array}{c} h^{0}, \ H^{0}, \ A^{0}, \ H^{\pm} \\ \hline \\ h^{0}, \ H^{0}, \ A^{0}, \ H^{\pm} \\ \hline \\ h^{0}, \ H^{0}, \ A^{0}, \ H^{\pm} \\ \hline \\ h^{0}, \ H^{0}, \ A^{0}, \ H^{\pm} \\ \hline \\ h^{0}, \ H^{0}, \ A^{0}, \ H^{\pm} \\ \hline \\ h^{0}, \ H^{0}, \ A^{0}, \ H^{\pm} \\ \hline \\ h^{0}, \ H^{0}, \ A^{0}, \ H^{\pm} \\ \hline \\ h^{0}, \ H^{0}, \ A^{0}, \ H^{\pm} \\ \hline \\ h^{2}, \ H^{2} \\ \hline \\ h^{2}, \ H^{2} \\ h^{2}, \ H^{2} \\ \hline \\ h^{2}, \ H^{2}, \ H^{2} \\ \hline \\ h^{2}, \ H^{2}, \ H^{2}, \ H^{2}, \ H^{2} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ h^{2}, \ H^{2},$$

m_h-max scenario

$$\begin{split} m_t &= 174.3 \; \text{GeV}, \quad M_{SUSY} = 1 \; \text{TeV}, \quad \mu = 200 \; \text{GeV}, \quad M_2 = 200 \; \text{GeV}, \\ X_t^{\text{OS}} &= 2 \; M_{SUSY} \; (\text{FD calculation}), \quad X_t^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = \sqrt{6} \; M_{SUSY} \; (\text{RG calculation}) \\ A_b &= A_t, \quad m_{\tilde{g}} = 0.8 \; M_{SUSY} \; . \end{split}$$

Eur.Phys.J.C26:601-607,2003

Designed to maximize the SM-like Higgs (h^o) mass $(m_h \sim 135 \text{ GeV})$.

However, we now believe we know the mass of the h^o (125.5 GeV), so m_h-max is a bit too aggressive.

More on this later...

h^o/H^o/A^o Production





Significant MSSM neutral Higgs production mechanism at any tanß

b-associated production can be significant at large tanβ

Phys.Rept.457:1-216,2008

00000

g



2 GeV $p_{T}(\mu) = 18 \text{ GeV}$ ATLAS $p_T^{vis}(\tau_h) = 26 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{vis} (\mu, \tau_h) = 47 \text{ GeV}$ $m_T (\mu, E_T^{miss}) = 8 \text{ GeV}$ $E_T^{miss} = 7 \text{ GeV}$ EXPERIMENT Run Number: 160613, Event Number: 9209492 Date: 2010-08-03 02:12:37 CEST -5 Z
ightarrow au auCandidate in 7 TeV Collisions 1 muon 50 360 \square 180 **3-prong hadronic** tau decay

2 GeV

 $p_{T}(\mu) = 18 \text{ GeV}$ $p_{T}^{vis}(\tau_{h}) = 26 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{vis}(\mu,\tau_{h}) = 47 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{T}(\mu,E_{T}^{miss}) = 8 \text{ GeV}$ $E_{T}^{miss} = 7 \text{ GeV}$



Run Number: 160613, Event Number: 9209492

Date: 2010-08-03 02:12:37 CEST

Other backgrounds from tein 7 TeV Collisions $\frac{1}{n}$ 1 Z \rightarrow ee, $\mu\mu$ decays are reduced by...

- vetoing events with additional well-reconstructed leptons (e.g. for the eτ_{had} and μτ_{had} final states)
- Requiring significant missing transverse energy (MET), as in the eτ_{had} final state

3-prong hadronic

tau decay



180

360

Multi-jet QCD Events



Multi-jet QCD Events

Background from QCD-induced multi-jet events are reduced by...

- improving MET reconstruction using information about particles from the primary interaction vertex (vs. other vertices)
- using isolated leptons, e.g. those well-separated from nearby tracker or calorimeter activity

CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN Data recorded: Mon Oct 3 03:07:23 2011 CEST Run/Event: 177730 / 2113660794

Bottom jet tagging enhances sensitivity to b-quark associated production

- *b-tagged category:* at least 1 b-tagged jet with p_T > 20 GeV
 - CMS: ... and not more than 1 jet with $p_{\tau} > 30$ GeV.
 - ATLAS: ... and the scalar sum of all jet $p_T s$, $H_T < 100$ GeV
- no-b-tag category: no b-tagged jets with p_T>20 GeV

B Jet 2, pt: 20.9 GeV

B Jet 1, pt: 20.3 GeV

Muon, pt: 27.0 GeV

HPS PF Tau, pt: 61.9 GeV

 $M_{\tau\tau}=168 \text{ GeV}$

NO B-TAG ("B-VETOED") CATEGORY



NO B-TAG ("B-VETOED") CATEGORY



B-TAGGED CATEGORY



B-TAGGED CATEGORY



Model Independent^(*) Results

JHEP02(2013)095



(*) These are almost completely model-independent results except insofar as we assume the production and decay of a <u>scalar boson</u>. This comment applies henceforth to results so labeled.

Model–Dependent^(*) Results



(*) It should be noted that if we switch to an alternative MSSM benchmark scenario, like m_h -mod+ or m_h -mod-, we don't expect these constraints to change too much. See slide 50. Stephen J. Sekula - SMU

19

$H^0/A^0 \rightarrow b\overline{b}$





Background estimated by using bbj and bjj samples where 1/2 jets are untagged; samples reweighted by b-tagging/mis-tagging probabilities.



arXiv:1302.2892

Stephen J. Sekula - SMU

H⁺ Production





Dominant for masses below $m_t - m_b \approx 169 \text{ GeV}$

Dominant for larger masses

 $H^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu (m_{H^+} < m_t^- m_b)$







Different experimental approaches are needed for these final states

- hadronic tau decays more prone to QCD backgrounds
- leptonic tau/W decays yield more significant MET and present reconstruction/resolution challenges
- CMS uses e + μ channel directly, while ATLAS uses it in combination with the lepton + τ_{had} channel; ATLAS uses lepton + jets channel; the other two are in common.

$H^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu$ Example: τ_{had} +jets



Model-Independent Results



Stephen J. Sekula - SMU

Model-Dependent Results



Including Lepton Universality Violation Search $R_{l} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(t\bar{t} \to b\bar{b} + l\tau_{had} + N\nu)}{\mathcal{B}(t\bar{t} \to b\bar{b} + ll' + N\nu)}$ Direct search using three independent final states JHEP06 (2012) 039 IHEP03 (2013) 076 م 60 $^{09}_{09}$ tan $R_{e+\mu} + \tau + \text{jets}$ ATLAS Median expected exclusion m_b^{max} \s=7 TeV Observed exclusion 95% CL 50 Data 2011 50 $Ldt = 4.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ Data 2011 Observed $+1\sigma$ theory •• Observed -1σ theory 40 40 Median expected exclusion ATLAS Observed exclusion 95% CL m_{b}^{max} $\sqrt{s}=7 \text{ TeV}$ 30 30 Observed $+1\sigma$ theory Ldt = 4.6 fb^{-1} Observed -1σ theory 20 20 10 10 150 100 130 140 160 90 110 120 140 150 160 90 130 100 110 120 $m_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}$ [GeV] $m_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}$ [GeV] Stephen J. Sekula - SMU

28

$H^+ \rightarrow cs (m_{H^+} < m_t - m_b)$



Model-Independent Results



2HDM-Inspired Searches



The considered production mechanisms



Enhance sensitivity to heavy Higgs through neural networks (NN)trained at 3 mass points: (150, 180, 240 GeV)



The NN shows good behavior even in samples against which it was not trained. Left is the NN output for the 150 GeV training point in a top-backgroundenhanced control region.



ATLAS-CONF-2013-027




NMSSM-Inspired Searches



Important Features



2 Higgs Field Doublets + 1 Singlet

Seven physical higgs bosons (3 CP-even, two CP-odd, and 2 electrically charged)

Mixing angle between the doublet and singlet pseudoscalars





CMS uses the excellent resolution on pairs of muons to search for $pp \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow \mu\mu$

Soft muon p_T is a challenge for triggering, but once triggered we see how smooth the data are and how the Upsilon resonances help to understand resolution in this mass region.

CMS-HIG-12-004



The data, excluding the Upsilon region. We still see the significant tails of the Upsilons, which are a background on the low side.





CMS-HIG-12-004

Stephen J. Sekula - SMU

43

Heavy Higgs Searches







Phys. Lett. B 716 (2012) 1-29



An Experimentalist's View: Theoretical Issues





$$\begin{split} m_t &= 173.2 \ {\rm GeV}, \\ M_{\rm SUSY} &= 1000 \ {\rm GeV}, \\ \mu &= 200 \ {\rm GeV}, \\ M_2 &= 200 \ {\rm GeV}, \\ X_t^{\rm OS} &= 2 \ M_{\rm SUSY} \ ({\rm FD\ calculation}), \\ X_t^{\rm \overline{MS}} &= \sqrt{6} \ M_{\rm SUSY} \ ({\rm RG\ calculation}), \\ A_b &= A_\tau = A_t, \\ m_{\tilde{g}} &= 1500 \ {\rm GeV}, \\ M_{\tilde{l}_3} &= 1000 \ {\rm GeV} \ . \end{split}$$

arXiv:1302.7033



- LHC Higgs Cross-Section Working Group anticipates Yellow Report #3 (YR3) soon
 - updated benchmarks for MSSM (c.f. arXiv:1302.7033)
- Other discussions: 2HDM benchmarks
 - parameterization: e.g. $cos(\beta \alpha)$ vs. mass instead of $cos(\alpha)$ vs. mass
 - tools: SusHi^[1], 2HDMC^[2], etc.
 - are benchmarks in a type-III model possible (motivated by recent $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau v$ results from BaBar)?
- discussions ongoing about other heavy Higgs search frameworks
 - what scheme is to be used to interpret high mass searches?
- What aren't we doing that we SHOULD be doing?

[1] http://arxiv.org/pdf/1212.3249.pdf[2] http://arxiv.org/abs/0902.0851

Conclusions and Outlook



Stephen J. Sekula - SMU

2011

Is that a Higgs?

2012

$h^0 \rightarrow 4I \quad h^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

One Higgs?

2013 and beyond?

More Higgs(es)?

ADDITIONAL MATERIAL

TAU IDENTIFICATION









MSSM-INSPIRED $H^0/A^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$

Results by Channel



mod+ or mh-mod-, we don't expect these constraints to change too much.

Model–Dependent^(*) Results



(*) It should be noted that if we switch to an alternative MSSM benchmark scenario, like mhmod+ or mh-mod-, we don't expect these constraints to change too much.

MSSM-INSPIRED H⁺ \rightarrow τ^+ ν

Channel-by-channel



Stephen J. Sekula - SMU





68

140 150 160

m_{H⁺} (GeV)

JHEP07 (2012) 143

.

120

110 120 130

0[±] 80 90 10

100

Observed

Expected median \pm 1 σ

Expected median \pm 2 σ

Expected median \pm 1 σ

······ Expected median ± 2σ

Observed

130 140 150 160 CMS

JHEP06 (2012) 039

JHEP07 (2012) 143



2HDM–Inspired $H^0 \rightarrow WW$



NMSSM-inspired $H_1 \rightarrow 4\gamma$




ATLAS-CONF-2012-079

$H^0 \rightarrow invisible$





