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## Discovery of 126 GeV Higgs



-A new particle at $\sim 126 \mathrm{GeV}$ that behaves very similarly to SM Higgs

## Discovery of 126 GeV Hiqgs



-Couplings compatible with SM values, but at this point could also be quite off.


-Do we really have to completely do away with strong EWSB?
-Couplings of Higgs in SM: determined by approximate conformal symmetry of SM
-In absence of Higgs mass parameter SM approximately conformal until QCD scale, and <H>=v breaks conformality spontaneously
-Higgs = dilaton, with $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{v}$, Higgs couplings determined a la Shifman, Vainshtein, Voloshin, Zakharov '79-'80
-Can have a higgs-like dilaton in more complicated models of dynamical EWSB

- Need strong sector to be approximately conformal
-Conformality should be broken spontaneously at scale $\mathrm{f} \sim \mathrm{v}$
-Aim here:
-Examine what it takes for dilaton to be light $\ll \Lambda$
-SUSY, RS examples
-Examine if dilaton couplings can fit LHC data


## Dilaton basics

- Scale transformations $\quad x \rightarrow x^{\prime}=e^{-\alpha} x$
- Operators transform

$$
\mathcal{O}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(x)=e^{\alpha \Delta} \mathcal{O}\left(e^{\alpha} x\right)
$$

- $\Delta$ is full dimension, classical plus quantum corrections
-Change in action:

$$
S=\sum_{i} \int d^{4} x g_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}(x) \longrightarrow S^{\prime}=\sum_{i} \int d^{4} x e^{\alpha\left(\Delta_{i}-4\right)} g_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}(x)
$$

-Assume spontaneous breaking of scale inv. (SBSI)

$$
\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle=f^{n}
$$

## Dilaton basics

-Dilaton: Goldstone of SBSI, $\sigma$, transforms non-linearly under scale transf.:

$$
\sigma(x) \rightarrow \sigma\left(e^{\alpha} x\right)+\alpha f
$$

-Restore scale invariance by replacing VEV

$$
f \rightarrow f \chi \equiv f e^{\sigma / f}
$$

-Effective dilaton Lagrangian is then (using NDA for coeffs)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{e f f} & =\sum_{n, m \geqslant 0} \frac{a_{n, m}}{(4 \pi)^{2(n-1)} f^{2(n-2)}} \frac{\partial^{2 n} \chi^{m}}{\chi^{2 n+m-4}} \\
& =-a_{0,0}(4 \pi)^{2} f^{4} \chi^{4}+\frac{f^{2}}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \chi\right)^{2}+\frac{a_{2,4}}{(4 \pi)^{2}} \frac{(\partial \chi)^{4}}{\chi^{4}}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dilaton dynamics

-Main point of dilaton: effective action can have non-derivative $\mathrm{X}^{4}$ term - just the cosmological constant in the composite sector

$$
S=\int d^{4} x \frac{f^{2}}{2}(\partial \chi)^{2}-a f^{4} \chi^{4}+\text { higher derivatives }
$$

- Generically $a \neq 0$. Will make SBSI difficult:
-a>0: VEV at $\mathrm{f}=0$, no SBSI
-a<0: runaway vacuum $f \rightarrow \infty$


-a=0 arbitrary f

$$
f=?{\underset{a=0}{\longrightarrow}}^{\substack{a=0}}
$$

- Need to add additional almost-marginal operator to generate dilaton potential


## Dilaton dynamics

-Perturbation:

$$
\delta S=\int d^{4} x \lambda(\mu) \mathcal{O}
$$



$$
a f^{4} \rightarrow f^{4} F(\lambda(f))
$$

-Dilaton potential: $\quad V(\chi)=f^{4} F(\lambda(f))$ vacuum energy in units of $f$
-Dilaton mass:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-To have a VEV: } \quad V^{\prime}=f^{3}\left[4 F(\lambda(f))+\beta F^{\prime}(\lambda(f))\right]=0 \\
& \text {-Dilaton mass: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$m_{d i l}^{2}=f^{2} \beta\left[\beta F^{\prime \prime}+4 F^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime} F^{\prime}\right] \simeq 4 f^{2} \beta F^{\prime}(\lambda(f))=-16 f^{2} F(\lambda(f))$

## Dilaton dynamics

-We need $m_{\text {dil }} \sim 125 \mathrm{GeV}$
-With $f \sim v=246 \mathrm{GeV}, \Lambda=4 \pi f \sim 3 \mathrm{TeV}$

- So $m_{d i l} \sim f / 2 \ll \Lambda$
-But dilaton mass:

$$
m_{d i l}^{2}=f^{2} \beta\left[\beta F^{\prime \prime}+4 F^{\prime}+\beta^{\prime} F^{\prime}\right] \simeq 4 f^{2} \beta F^{\prime}(\lambda(f))=-16 f^{2} F(\lambda(f))
$$

- Naive expectation: one loop vacuum energy

$$
F_{N D A} \sim \frac{\Lambda^{4}}{16 \pi^{2} f^{4}} \sim 16 \pi^{2}
$$

$$
m_{d i l} \sim \Lambda
$$

## Dilaton dynamics

-Generically DO NOT expect a light dilaton, need the dilaton quartic to be suppressed vs. NDA size
-If quartic not suppressed, need large $\beta$ to stabilize, large explicit breaking a la QCD and TC, no light dilaton
-Need to start with an almost flat direction
-Dynamics should not generate a large contribution to the vacuum energy...

- Natural in SUSY theories - have flat or almost flat directions
-Not natural in non-SUSY theories


## Dilaton dynamics

To find a (non-SUSY) solution we need

-Small vacuum energy (tuning), $a \ll 16 \pi^{2}$

- $\delta$ F dynamically cancels vs. a
-Perturbation should be close to marginal


## Dilaton dynamics

-Detailed examination of the dynamics
-Assume small deviation $\varepsilon$ from marginality, and coupling $\lambda$ :

$$
\beta(\lambda)=\frac{d \lambda}{d \ln \mu}=\epsilon \lambda+\frac{b_{1}}{4 \pi} \lambda^{2}+O\left(\lambda^{3}\right)
$$

-Assume $\lambda$ perturbative $\lambda<4 \pi$, and dilaton quartic very small

$$
F(\lambda)=(4 \pi)^{2}\left[c_{0}+\sum_{n} c_{n}\left(\frac{\lambda}{4 \pi}\right)^{n}\right], \quad c_{0} \ll c_{n} \sim 1, \quad a=(4 \pi)^{2} c_{0}
$$

-Coleman-Weinberg type potential for dilaton

## Dilaton dynamics

-For perturbative $\lambda$ can introduce large hierarchies

$$
f \simeq M\left(\frac{-4 \pi c_{0}}{\lambda(M) c_{1}}\right)^{1 / \epsilon}
$$

if $\varepsilon$ small and negative $\mathrm{f} \ll \mathrm{M}$ (if positive more tuning)
-The dilaton mass:

$$
\frac{m_{d i l}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \sim \frac{\beta}{\pi} \simeq \epsilon \frac{\lambda}{\pi}
$$

-Could make it very small by taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ?

## Dilaton dynamics

-When $\varepsilon$ very small, $\lambda^{2}$ term in $\beta$-function dominates

$$
\frac{m_{d i l}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \sim \frac{\beta}{\pi} \sim \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}}
$$

- Shows need perturbative coupling for light dilaton
-QCD and (walking)-TC will not have a light dilaton, since there $\lambda=g \sim 4 \pi$
-Fine-tuning in weakly coupled models: min. condition gives $\lambda(f) \sim 4 \pi c_{0} / c_{1} \equiv 4 \pi / \Delta \quad$ where $\Delta$ is FT

$$
\Delta \gtrsim 2 \Lambda / m_{d i l} \simeq 50\left(\frac{f}{246 \mathrm{GeV}}\right)\left(\frac{125 \mathrm{GeV}}{m_{d i l}}\right)
$$

## A SUSY example for a light dilaton

- Look at 3-2 model

|  | $S U(3)$ | $S U(2)$ | $U(1)$ | $U(1)_{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Q$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $1 / 3$ | 1 |
| $L$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\square$ | -1 | -3 |
| $\bar{U}$ | $\square$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $-4 / 3$ | -8 |
| $\bar{D}$ | $\square$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $2 / 3$ | 4 |

- Classical flat directions $Q \bar{D} L, Q \bar{U} L$ and $\operatorname{det}(\bar{Q} Q)$
-Lifted by superpotential $W=\lambda Q \bar{D} L$
-Dynamical ADS superpotential

$$
W_{\mathrm{dyn}}=\frac{\Lambda_{3}^{7}}{\operatorname{det}(\bar{Q} Q)}
$$

-Will push fields to large VEVs $\gg \wedge_{3}$ as long as $\lambda \ll 1$

- Spontaneous conformality breaking, expect light dilaton


## A SUSY example for a light dilaton

- The potential $\quad V \approx \frac{\Lambda_{3}^{14}}{f^{10}}+\lambda \frac{\Lambda_{3}^{7}}{f^{3}}+\lambda^{2} f^{4}$
-VEVs: $\quad f \approx \frac{\Lambda_{3}}{\lambda^{1 / 7}}, \quad V \approx \lambda^{10 / 7} \Lambda_{3}^{4}$
-Dilaton mass: $\quad m_{\text {dil }} \approx \lambda f \approx \lambda^{\frac{6}{7}} \Lambda_{3}$
- Of course here SUSY is playing the essential role of keeping the dilaton light, unlike in the non-SUSY examples we are interested in


## The radion in RS/GW

- The effective potential w/o stabilization

$$
V_{e f f}=V_{0}+V_{1}\left(\frac{R}{R^{\prime}}\right)^{4}+\Lambda_{(5)} R\left(1-\left(\frac{R}{R^{\prime}}\right)^{4}\right)
$$

-With $\mathrm{f}=1 /$ R' get a characteristic SBSI potential with quartic

$$
V_{e f f}(\chi)=\underbrace{V_{0}+\Lambda_{(5)}}_{\text {CC, FT1 }} R \underbrace{}_{\text {quartic, FT2 }} R \underbrace{f^{4}}(\underbrace{}_{V_{1} R^{4}-\Lambda_{(5)} R^{5}})
$$

- Natural size of quartic: NDA in 5D $\quad \delta a_{(\text {(bulk })} \sim \Lambda_{(5)} R^{5} \sim \frac{12^{\frac{5}{2}}}{24 \pi^{3}} \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ like in 4D EFT

$$
\delta a_{(I R)}=-V_{1} R^{4}=-V_{1}\left(\frac{R}{R^{\prime}}\right)^{4} R^{4}=\frac{\widetilde{V}_{1}}{\left(\frac{\Lambda}{4 \pi}\right)^{4}} \sim 16 \pi^{2}
$$

## The radion in RS/GW

-Assumption for GW: quartic is set to zero/very small, then bulk scalar added with non-trivial profile and small bulk mass
-Potential:

$$
V=f^{4}\left\{(4+2 \epsilon)\left[v_{1}-v_{0}(f R)^{\epsilon}\right]^{2}-\epsilon v_{1}^{2}+\delta a+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)\right\}=f^{4} F(f)
$$

- $\varepsilon$ is bulk mass, $\mathrm{v}_{1,0} \mathrm{IR} / \mathrm{UV}$ VEVs in units of AdS curvature, $\delta a$ the remaining quartic
-VEV:

$$
f=\frac{1}{R}\left(\frac{v_{1}+\sqrt{-\delta a / 4}}{v_{0}}+O(\epsilon)\right)^{1 / \epsilon}
$$

-Tuning determined by $\sqrt{-\delta a / 4} \lesssim v_{1}$
-Amount: $\quad \Delta=\frac{a}{|\delta a|} \gtrsim \frac{4 \pi^{2}}{v_{1}^{2}} \sim 4000$ for $\mathrm{v}_{1} \sim 0.1$.

## Radion as Higgs?

-Radion kinetic term normalization gives

$$
f^{(R S)}=\frac{1}{R^{\prime}} \sqrt{12\left(M_{*} R\right)^{3}}
$$

-For calculability need $N=\sqrt{12\left(M_{*} R\right)^{3}} \gg 1$, so
-For higgsless: $\quad \frac{v}{f^{(R S)}}=\frac{2}{g} \frac{1}{N \sqrt{\log \frac{R^{\prime}}{R}}}$

- For models with very heavy higgs: $\quad \frac{v}{f^{(R S)}}=\frac{v R^{\prime}}{N}$
-Both cases couplings very suppressed, but mass light

$$
m_{\text {dil }} \sim M_{K K} \frac{2 v_{1} \sqrt{\epsilon}}{\sqrt{12\left(M_{*} R\right)^{3}}}
$$

## Dilaton couplings

-Assumption: composite sector + elementary sector
-Composite sector close to conformal, breaks scale inv. spontaneously

- Elementary sector is external to composite, but weak couplings
-Dilaton coupling in composite sector: assume in UV

$$
\mathcal{L}_{C F T}^{U V}=\sum_{i} g_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{U V}
$$

-All operators dim 4 or small explicit breaking $\quad\left[g_{i}\right]=4-\Delta_{i}^{U V}$

- Generic IR Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}_{C F T}^{I R}=\sum_{i} c_{j}\left(\Pi g_{i}^{n_{i}}\right) \mathcal{O}_{j}^{I R} \chi^{m_{j}}
$$

## Dilaton couplings I. Composites

-Power of X fixed $\quad \mathcal{L}_{C F T}^{I R}=\sum_{i} c_{j}\left(\Pi g_{i}^{n_{i}}\right) \mathcal{O}_{j}^{I R} \chi^{m_{j}}$

- $m_{j}=4-\Delta_{j}^{I R}-\sum_{i} n_{i}\left(4-\Delta_{i}^{U V}\right)$
$\bullet$ Single coupling: $\quad \mathcal{L}_{\text {breaking }}^{I R}=\sum_{j} c_{j} g_{i}\left(\Delta_{i}^{U V}-\Delta_{j}^{I R}\right) \mathcal{O}_{j}^{I R} \frac{\sigma}{f}$
-If no explicit breaking $\mathcal{L}_{\text {symmetric }}^{I R}=\sum_{j} c_{j}\left(4-\Delta_{j}^{I R}\right) \mathcal{O}_{j}^{I R} \frac{\sigma}{f}$
-Coupling to Tr of energy-momentum tensor: $\mathcal{L}_{\text {eff }}=-\frac{\sigma}{f} \mathcal{T}_{\mu}^{\mu}$
-Trace anomaly included, for $\mathcal{O}_{j}^{I R}=-\left(F_{\mu \nu}\right)^{2} /\left(4 g^{2}\right)$

$$
4-\Delta_{j}^{I R}=2 \gamma(g)=\frac{2 \beta(g)}{g}
$$

## Dilaton couplings II. Partially composite



- Mixing between composite and elementary sectors

$$
\mathcal{L}^{U V}=\mathcal{L}_{C F T}^{U V}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {elem }}+\sum_{i} y_{i} O_{\text {elem }, i} \mathcal{O}_{C F T, i}^{U V}
$$

-Treat y as spurion with dimension $\quad\left[y_{i}\right]=4-\Delta_{\text {elem }, i}^{U V}-\Delta_{C F T, i}^{U V}$
-Effective Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}_{e f f}=\mathcal{L}_{C F T}^{I R}+\mathcal{L}_{\text {elem }}+\sum_{j} c_{j} y_{i} O_{\text {elem }, i} \mathcal{O}_{C F T, j}^{I R} \chi^{m_{j}}+\mathcal{O}\left(y^{2}\right)
$$

-Power of $X$ :

$$
\Delta_{e l e m, i}^{U V}-\Delta_{e l e m, i}^{I R}+\Delta_{C F T, i}^{U V}-\Delta_{C F T, j}^{I R}
$$

## Example I: Partially comp. fermions


-Mixing between elementary and composite fermions:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{i n t}=y_{L} \psi_{L} \Theta_{R}+y_{R} \psi_{R} \Theta_{L}+\text { h.c. }
$$

- Spurion dimensions: $\left[y_{L}\right]=4-\Delta_{\psi_{L}}^{U V}-\Delta_{\Theta_{R}}^{U V}, \quad\left[y_{R}\right]=4-\Delta_{\psi_{R}}^{U V}-\Delta_{\Theta_{L}}^{U V}$
-The effective fermion mass: $\mathcal{L}_{e f f}=-M y_{L} y_{R} \psi_{L} \psi_{R} \chi^{m}+$ h.c.

$$
\Delta_{\psi_{L}}^{U V}-\Delta_{\psi_{L}}^{I R}+\Delta_{\psi_{R}}^{U V}-\Delta_{\psi_{R}}^{I R}+\Delta_{\theta_{L}}^{U V}+\Delta_{\theta_{R}}^{U V}-4
$$

-Coupling to dilaton: $\quad \Delta_{\Theta_{L}}^{U V}=2+c_{L}, \quad \Delta_{\Theta_{R}}^{U V}=2-c_{R}$,
-In RS language:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{e f f}=-M y_{L} y_{R} \psi_{L} \psi_{R} \chi^{c_{L}-c_{R}}
$$

## Example II: Partially comp. gauge field



- Mixing between gauge field and composite current:

$$
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{4 g_{U V}^{2}} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+A_{\mu} \mathcal{J}^{\mu}
$$

-Spurion dimension: $\left[g_{U V}\right]=\Delta_{A}^{U V}-1$
-Low energy coupling: $\quad \mathcal{L}_{e f f}=-\frac{1}{4 g^{2}} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \chi^{m}$
-Coupling:

$$
m=4-2\left[1+\Delta_{A}^{I R}\right]+2[g]=2\left(\frac{\beta_{I R}}{g}-\frac{\beta_{U V}}{g}\right)
$$

## Example II: Partially comp. gauge field

-Can also find this from matching of coupling

$$
\frac{1}{g^{2}(\mu)}=\frac{1}{g^{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)}-\frac{b_{U V}}{8 \pi^{2}} \ln \frac{\mu_{0}}{f}-\frac{b_{I R}}{8 \pi^{2}} \ln \frac{f}{\mu}
$$

- With replacement $\quad f \rightarrow f e^{\frac{\sigma}{f}}$
- Coupling again

$$
\frac{g^{2}}{32 \pi^{2}}\left(b_{I R}-b_{U V}\right) F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu} \frac{\sigma}{f}
$$

## Dilaton coupling to SM

-Couplings to massive fields:

$$
\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text {mass }}=\left(2 m_{W}^{2} W_{\mu}^{+} W^{-\mu}+m_{Z}^{2} Z_{\mu}^{2}\right) \frac{\sigma}{f}-Y_{\psi} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_{L} \psi_{R}\left(1+\gamma_{L}+\gamma_{R}\right) \frac{\sigma}{f}+\text { h.c. }
$$

-Anomalous dimensions $ү \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{R}$ might be flavor dependent. Assume flavor symmetry to tame dilaton mediated FCNCs
-Coupling to massless gauge bosons:

$$
\delta \mathcal{L}_{k i n}=\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{32 \pi^{2}}\left(b_{I R}^{(A)}-b_{U V}^{(A)}\right)\left(F_{\mu \nu}^{(A)}\right)^{2} \frac{\sigma}{f}
$$

-Assuming photon, gluon partially composite
$-\left(b_{U V}^{(3)}+b_{t_{L}}^{(3)}\right) \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8 \pi} G_{\mu \nu}^{2} \frac{\chi}{f}-\left(b_{U V}^{(E M)}+b_{W_{T}^{ \pm}}^{(E M)}+N_{c} b_{t_{L}}^{(E M)}\right) \frac{\alpha}{8 \pi} A_{\mu \nu}^{2} \frac{\chi}{f}$

## Dilaton coupling to SM

-In terms of generic parametrization

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{e f f}= & c_{V}\left(\frac{2 m_{W}^{2}}{v} W_{\mu}^{+} W^{-\mu}+\frac{m_{Z}^{2}}{v} Z_{\mu}^{2}\right) h \\
& -c_{t} \frac{m_{t}}{v} \bar{t} t h-c_{b} \frac{m_{b}}{v} \bar{b} b h-c_{\tau} \frac{m_{\tau}}{v} \bar{\tau} \tau h \\
& +c_{g} \frac{\alpha_{s}}{8 \pi v} G_{\mu \nu}^{2} h+c_{\gamma} \frac{\alpha}{8 \pi v} A_{\mu \nu}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

-For massive fields

$$
c_{t, \chi}=\frac{v}{f}\left(1+\gamma_{t}\right), \quad c_{b, \chi}=\frac{v}{f}\left(1+\gamma_{b}\right), \quad c_{\tau, \chi}=\frac{v}{f}\left(1+\gamma_{\tau}\right),
$$

-For massless GBs including top and W loops:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{c}_{g, \chi} & \simeq \frac{v}{f}\left(b_{I R}^{(3)}-b_{U V}^{(3)}+\frac{1}{2} F_{1 / 2}\left(x_{t}\right)\right) \equiv \frac{v}{f} b_{e f f}^{(3)} \\
\hat{c}_{\gamma, \chi} & \simeq \frac{v}{f}\left(b_{I R}^{(E M)}-b_{U V}^{(E M)}+\frac{4}{3} F_{1 / 2}\left(x_{t}\right)-F_{1}\left(x_{W}\right)\right) \equiv \frac{v}{f} b_{e f f}^{(E M)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dilaton rates and production

-Decay rates:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\Gamma_{W W}}{\Gamma_{W W, S M}}=\frac{\Gamma_{Z Z}}{\Gamma_{Z Z, S M}} \simeq\left|c_{V}\right|^{2}, \frac{\Gamma_{b b}}{\Gamma_{b b, S M}} \simeq\left|c_{b}\right|^{2}, \frac{\Gamma_{\tau \tau}}{\Gamma_{\tau \tau, S M}} \simeq\left|c_{\tau}\right|^{2} \\
\frac{\Gamma_{g g}}{\Gamma_{g g, S M}} \simeq \frac{\left|\hat{c}_{g}\right|^{2}}{\left|\hat{c}_{g, S M}\right|^{2}}, \quad \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma}}{\Gamma_{\gamma \gamma, S M}} \simeq \frac{\left|\hat{c}_{\gamma}\right|^{2}}{\left|\hat{c}_{\gamma, S M}\right|^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

-Production rates: $\frac{\sigma_{G F}}{\sigma_{G F, S M}} \simeq \frac{\left|\hat{c}_{g}\right|^{2}}{\left|\hat{c}_{G, S M}\right|^{2}}, \frac{\sigma_{V B F}}{\sigma_{V B, S, S}} \simeq\left|c_{V}\right|^{2}, \frac{\sigma_{V h}}{\sigma_{V h, S M}} \simeq\left|c_{V}\right|^{2}$

- Rates for individual channels: $\quad R \simeq(\sigma \Gamma) /(\sigma \Gamma)_{S M} \times\left|C_{\text {tot }}\right|^{-2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{G F,(W W, Z Z)} \simeq \frac{v^{2}}{f^{2}} \frac{1}{C^{2}}\left(\frac{b_{e f f}^{(3)}}{b_{t}^{(3)}}\right)^{2}, R_{G F, \gamma \gamma} \simeq \frac{v^{2}}{f^{2}} \frac{1}{C^{2}}\left(\frac{b_{e f f}^{(3)} b_{e f f}^{(E M)}}{b_{t}^{(3)} b_{t+W}^{(E M)}}\right)^{2}, \\
& R_{G F, \tau \tau} \simeq \frac{v^{2}}{f^{2}} \frac{1}{C^{2}}\left(\frac{b_{e f f}^{(3)}\left(1+\gamma_{\tau}\right)}{b_{t}^{(3)}}\right)^{2}, R_{V B F, \gamma \gamma} \simeq \frac{v^{2}}{f^{2}} \frac{1}{C^{2}}\left(\frac{b_{e f f}^{(E M)}}{b_{t+W}^{(E M)}}\right)^{2}, \\
& R_{V B F,(W W, Z Z)} \simeq \frac{v^{2}}{f^{2}} \frac{1}{C^{2}}, \quad R_{V B F, \tau \tau} \simeq \frac{v^{2}}{f^{2}} \frac{1}{C^{2}}\left(1+\gamma_{\tau}\right)^{2}, \quad R_{V h, b b} \simeq \frac{v^{2}}{f^{2}} \frac{1}{C^{2}}\left(1+\gamma_{b}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

-where $\mathbf{C}=\left[\mathrm{BR}_{W W, S M}+\mathrm{BR}_{Z Z, S M}+\left(1+\gamma_{b}\right) \mathrm{BR}_{b b, S M}+\frac{\left(b_{e f f}^{(3)}\right)^{2}}{\left(b_{t}^{(3)}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{BR}_{g g, S M}\right]$

## LHC and EWPT constraints



## Enhancement in $\mathrm{h} \rightarrow \mathrm{vy}$



Rates for
$h \rightarrow Y Y\}$ Can be easily enhanced for $h \rightarrow Z Z\}$ largish b's
$\mathrm{h} \rightarrow \mathrm{bb}$

## Summary

-Dilaton well-motivated alternative to 125 GeV higgs
-Large quartic expected for dilaton in non-SUSY models
-Hard to stabilize at hierarchically small VEVs and a light dilaton mass $\ll \wedge$, typically a tuning of a few percent - 0.01 percent involved

- Once radion light couplings predicted up to few parameters
$\bullet v / f$ suppressed vs. Higgs, $\beta$ functions determine rest
-Can fit LHC data, and explain potential deviations from SM predictions

