# Renormalization of Entanglement Entropy and the Gravitational Effective Action

Joshua H. Cooperman

In collaboration with Markus A. Luty

Department of Physics University of California, Davis

Joint Theory Seminar

Department of Physics University of California, Davis

4 February 2013

Consider a system  $\mathscr{S}$  in the quantum state  $\rho$  partitioned into two subsystems  $\mathscr{S}_A$  and  $\mathscr{S}_B$ .

Consider a system  $\mathscr{S}$  in the quantum state  $\rho$  partitioned into two subsystems  $\mathscr{S}_A$  and  $\mathscr{S}_B$ .

Definition 
$$\begin{cases} S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) \text{ with reduced quantum state } \rho_A = \text{Tr}_B \rho \\ \\ S_{\text{ent}}^{(B)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_B \ln \rho_B) \text{ with reduced quantum state } \rho_B = \text{Tr}_A \rho \end{cases}$$

Consider a system  $\mathscr{S}$  in the quantum state  $\rho$  partitioned into two subsystems  $\mathscr{S}_A$  and  $\mathscr{S}_B$ .

 $\text{Definition} \begin{cases} S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) \text{ with reduced quantum state } \rho_A = \text{Tr}_B \rho \\ \\ S_{\text{ent}}^{(B)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_B \ln \rho_B) \text{ with reduced quantum state } \rho_B = \text{Tr}_A \rho \end{cases}$ 

 $S_{\rm ent}$  quantifies the information that one disregards by ignoring a subsystem.

- If  $\rho$  is a pure quantum state, then  $S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = S_{\text{ent}}^{(B)}$ .
  - $S_{\text{ent}}$  measures the correlation between the subsystems  $\mathscr{S}_A$  and  $\mathscr{S}_B$ .

Consider a system  $\mathscr{S}$  in the quantum state  $\rho$  partitioned into two subsystems  $\mathscr{S}_A$  and  $\mathscr{S}_B$ .

 $\text{Definition} \begin{cases} S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) \text{ with reduced quantum state } \rho_A = \text{Tr}_B \rho \\ \\ S_{\text{ent}}^{(B)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_B \ln \rho_B) \text{ with reduced quantum state } \rho_B = \text{Tr}_A \rho \end{cases}$ 

 $S_{\rm ent}$  quantifies the information that one disregards by ignoring a subsystem.

- If  $\rho$  is a pure quantum state, then  $S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = S_{\text{ent}}^{(B)}$ .
  - $S_{\text{ent}}$  measures the correlation between the subsystems  $\mathscr{S}_A$  and  $\mathscr{S}_B$ .

How is the system  $\mathscr{S}$  partitioned into the two subsystems  $\mathscr{S}_A$  and  $\mathscr{S}_B$ ?

Consider a system  $\mathscr{S}$  in the quantum state  $\rho$  partitioned into two subsystems  $\mathscr{S}_A$  and  $\mathscr{S}_B$ .

Definition  $\begin{cases} S_{\rm ent}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) \text{ with reduced quantum state } \rho_A = \text{Tr}_B \rho \\\\ S_{\rm ent}^{(B)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_B \ln \rho_B) \text{ with reduced quantum state } \rho_B = \text{Tr}_A \rho \end{cases}$ 

 $S_{\rm ent}$  quantifies the information that one disregards by ignoring a subsystem.

- If  $\rho$  is a pure quantum state, then  $S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = S_{\text{ent}}^{(B)}$ .
  - $S_{\text{ent}}$  measures the correlation between the subsystems  $\mathscr{S}_A$  and  $\mathscr{S}_B$ .

How is the system  $\mathscr{S}$  partitioned into the two subsystems  $\mathscr{S}_A$  and  $\mathscr{S}_B$ ?

- Choose a spatial boundary at a fixed time, the entangling surface.
  - $S_{\rm ent}$  measures correlations across the boundary.
  - If  $\rho$  is a pure quantum state, then  $S_{\rm ent}$  is associated with the boundary.

Quantum information science

• Entanglement is the primary resource for quantum information processing and quantum computation.

[Bennett et al 1993], [Bennett et al 1996]

Quantum information science

• Entanglement is the primary resource for quantum information processing and quantum computation.

[Bennett et al 1993], [Bennett et al 1996]

Condensed matter theory and experiment

• Entanglement is a useful quantity for studying and detecting the phase structure of quantum many-body systems.

[Osborne and Nielsen 2002], [Osterloh et al 2002], [Vidal et al 2003]

Quantum information science

• Entanglement is the primary resource for quantum information processing and quantum computation.

[Bennett et al 1993], [Bennett et al 1996]

Condensed matter theory and experiment

• Entanglement is a useful quantity for studying and detecting the phase structure of quantum many-body systems.

[Osborne and Nielsen 2002], [Osterloh et al 2002], [Vidal et al 2003]

Quantum field theory

• Entanglement entropy is helpful in proving *c*-theorems for the renormalization group flows of quantum field theories.

[Casini and Huerta 2004], [Myers and Sinha 2010, 2011]

Quantum information science

• Entanglement is the primary resource for quantum information processing and quantum computation.

[Bennett et al 1993], [Bennett et al 1996]

Condensed matter theory and experiment

• Entanglement is a useful quantity for studying and detecting the phase structure of quantum many-body systems.

[Osborne and Nielsen 2002], [Osterloh et al 2002], [Vidal et al 2003]

Quantum field theory

• Entanglement entropy is helpful in proving *c*-theorems for the renormalization group flows of quantum field theories.

[Casini and Huerta 2004], [Myers and Sinha 2010, 2011]

Black hole physics

• Entanglement entropy may account for the entropy of black holes. [Sorkin 1983], [Bombelli *et al* 1986], [Srednicki 1993], [Frolov and Novikov 1993]

Classical laws of black hole mechanics [Bardeen et al 1973]

- **()** The surface gravity  $\kappa$  is constant over the horizon.
- The mass M, surface gravity  $\kappa$ , and horizon area A satisfy  $\delta M = \frac{M_{P}^{2}\kappa}{8\pi}\delta A$ .
- **2** The horizon area A satisfies  $\delta A \ge 0$ .
- No physical procedure can reduce the surface gravity  $\kappa$  to zero in a finite number of operations.

Classical laws of black hole mechanics [Bardeen et al 1973]

- **()** The surface gravity  $\kappa$  is constant over the horizon.
- The mass M, surface gravity  $\kappa$ , and horizon area A satisfy  $\delta M = \frac{M_P^2 \kappa}{8\pi} \delta A$ .
- **2** The horizon area A satisfies  $\delta A \ge 0$ .

Consider a quantum field  $\Phi$  propagating in a black hole spacetime.

- Quantum state of  $\Phi$  outside of the horizon is thermal with temperature  $T_H = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$  at spatial infinity.
- Black hole radiates as a black body at temperature  $T_H$ .
- $\frac{1}{4}M_P^2 A$  is the entropy for the internal energy M and temperature  $\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$ . [Hawking 1974]

Classical laws of black hole mechanics [Bardeen et al 1973]

- **()** The surface gravity  $\kappa$  is constant over the horizon.
- The mass M, surface gravity  $\kappa$ , and horizon area A satisfy  $\delta M = \frac{M_P^2 \kappa}{8\pi} \delta A$ .
- **2** The horizon area A satisfies  $\delta A \ge 0$ .

Consider a quantum field  $\Phi$  propagating in a black hole spacetime.

- Quantum state of  $\Phi$  outside of the horizon is thermal with temperature  $T_H = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$  at spatial infinity.
- Black hole radiates as a black body at temperature  $T_H$ .
- $\frac{1}{4}M_P^2 A$  is the entropy for the internal energy M and temperature  $\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$ . [Hawking 1974]

Thermodynamical behavior of black holes discovered by considering quantum fields interacting with their spacetime geometry.

Classical laws of black hole mechanics [Bardeen et al 1973]

- **()** The surface gravity  $\kappa$  is constant over the horizon.
- The mass M, surface gravity  $\kappa$ , and horizon area A satisfy  $\delta M = \frac{M_P^2 \kappa}{8\pi} \delta A$ .
- **2** The horizon area A satisfies  $\delta A \ge 0$ .

Consider a quantum field  $\Phi$  propagating in a black hole spacetime.

- Quantum state of  $\Phi$  outside of the horizon is thermal with temperature  $T_H = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$  at spatial infinity.
- Black hole radiates as a black body at temperature  $T_H$ .
- $\frac{1}{4}M_P^2 A$  is the entropy for the internal energy M and temperature  $\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$ . [Hawking 1974]

Thermodynamical behavior of black holes discovered by considering quantum fields interacting with their spacetime geometry.

Entanglement entropy associated with quantum fields outside of the horizon has leading contribution  $O(\Lambda^2 A)$  for UV cutoff  $\Lambda$ . [Sorkin 1983]

For a reduced quantum state  $\rho_A$  on a finite dimensional Hilbert space

$$S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n \ln \lambda_n$$

For a reduced quantum state  $\rho_A$  on a finite dimensional Hilbert space

$$S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n \ln \lambda_n$$

For a reduced quantum state  $\rho_A$  on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space

$$S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) \stackrel{?}{=} -\int d\lambda \, \Gamma(\lambda) \ln \Gamma(\lambda)$$

For a reduced quantum state  $\rho_A$  on a finite dimensional Hilbert space

$$S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n \ln \lambda_n$$

For a reduced quantum state  $\rho_A$  on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space

$$S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) \stackrel{?}{=} -\int d\lambda \, \Gamma(\lambda) \ln \Gamma(\lambda)$$

Proposal of Callan and Wilczek for the entanglement entropy associated with a spatial boundary in quantum field theory [Callan and Wilczek 1994]

$$S_{\text{ent}} = -\lim_{\delta \to 0} \left( 2\pi \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\delta} + 1 \right) W_{\delta}$$

•  $W_{\delta}$  is the gravitational effective action on a spacetime with conical singularity of deficit angle  $\delta$ .

For a reduced quantum state  $\rho_A$  on a finite dimensional Hilbert space

$$S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) = -\sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n \ln \lambda_n$$

For a reduced quantum state  $\rho_A$  on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space

$$S_{\text{ent}}^{(A)} = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) \stackrel{?}{=} -\int d\lambda \, \Gamma(\lambda) \ln \Gamma(\lambda)$$

Proposal of Callan and Wilczek for the entanglement entropy associated with a spatial boundary in quantum field theory [Callan and Wilczek 1994]

$$S_{\text{ent}} = -\lim_{\delta \to 0} \left( 2\pi \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\delta} + 1 \right) W_{\delta}$$

- $W_{\delta}$  is the gravitational effective action on a spacetime with conical singularity of deficit angle  $\delta$ .
- $W_{\delta}$  is UV divergent, so  $S_{\text{ent}}$  is UV divergent.

Gravitational effective action for a smooth spacetime metric with UV cutoff  $\Lambda$ 

$$W = \int d^{D}x \sqrt{g} \left[ c_{0} \Lambda^{D} + c_{2} \Lambda^{D-2} R + c_{4,1} \Lambda^{D-4} R^{2} + c_{4,2} \Lambda^{D-4} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + c_{4,3} \Lambda^{D-4} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + c_{6,1} \Lambda^{D-6} R^{3} + \cdots \right]$$

Gravitational effective action for a smooth spacetime metric with UV cutoff  $\Lambda$ 

$$W = \int d^{D}x \sqrt{g} \left[ c_{0} \Lambda^{D} + c_{2} \Lambda^{D-2} R + c_{4,1} \Lambda^{D-4} R^{2} + c_{4,2} \Lambda^{D-4} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + c_{4,3} \Lambda^{D-4} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + c_{6,1} \Lambda^{D-6} R^{3} + \cdots \right]$$

Gravitational effective action for a conically singular spacetime metric with UV cutoff  $\Lambda$ 

$$W_{\delta} = \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{g} \left[ c_0 \Lambda^D + c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} R + \cdots \right]$$
  
+ 
$$\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} \left[ \bar{c}_0 \Lambda^{D-2} + \bar{c}_2 \Lambda^{D-4} R(\gamma) + \cdots \right]$$

•  $W_{\delta}$  can also have UV-divergent terms from the conical singularity

Gravitational effective action for a smooth spacetime metric with UV cutoff  $\Lambda$ 

$$W = \int d^{D}x \sqrt{g} \left[ c_{0} \Lambda^{D} + c_{2} \Lambda^{D-2} R + c_{4,1} \Lambda^{D-4} R^{2} + c_{4,2} \Lambda^{D-4} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + c_{4,3} \Lambda^{D-4} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + c_{6,1} \Lambda^{D-6} R^{3} + \cdots \right]$$

Gravitational effective action for a conically singular spacetime metric with UV cutoff  $\Lambda$ 

$$W_{\delta} = \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{g} \left[ c_0 \Lambda^D + c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} R + \cdots \right]$$
  
+ 
$$\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} \left[ \bar{c}_0 \Lambda^{D-2} + \bar{c}_2 \Lambda^{D-4} R(\gamma) + \cdots \right]$$

•  $W_{\delta}$  can also have UV-divergent terms from the conical singularity

Conjecture of Susskind and Uglum

• The UV divergences in the entanglement entropy can be absorbed in the renormalization of the couplings of the gravitational effective action W. [Susskind and Uglum 1994]

• The Callan-Wilczek formula provides a geometric definition of the entanglement entropy for an arbitrary quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime.

- The Callan-Wilczek formula provides a geometric definition of the entanglement entropy for an arbitrary quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime.
- The UV-divergent terms in the entanglement entropy arise from the UV-divergent terms in the gravitational effective action in a one-to-one correspondence.

- The Callan-Wilczek formula provides a geometric definition of the entanglement entropy for an arbitrary quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime.
- The UV-divergent terms in the entanglement entropy arise from the UV-divergent terms in the gravitational effective action in a one-to-one correspondence.
- The entanglement entropy is rendered UV-finite by precisely the counterterms that render the gravitational effective action UV-finite.

- The Callan-Wilczek formula provides a geometric definition of the entanglement entropy for an arbitrary quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime.
- The UV-divergent terms in the entanglement entropy arise from the UV-divergent terms in the gravitational effective action in a one-to-one correspondence.
- The entanglement entropy is rendered UV-finite by precisely the counterterms that render the gravitational effective action UV-finite.

Applicability

- For any entangling surface with no extrinsic curvature in any spacetime dimension
- For all leading and subleading UV-divergent terms in the entanglement entropy
- To all orders in perturbation theory

- The Callan-Wilczek formula provides a geometric definition of the entanglement entropy for an arbitrary quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime.
- The UV-divergent terms in the entanglement entropy arise from the UV-divergent terms in the gravitational effective action in a one-to-one correspondence.
- The entanglement entropy is rendered UV-finite by precisely the counterterms that render the gravitational effective action UV-finite.

Applicability

- For any entangling surface with no extrinsic curvature in any spacetime dimension
- For all leading and subleading UV-divergent terms in the entanglement entropy
- To all orders in perturbation theory

#### Qualifications

- Only for a restricted class of quantum states, each expressible as a Euclidean path integral
- Only for spacetimes possessing a bifurcate Killing horizon with boost invariant bifurcation surface
- Not applicable to quantum fluctuations of gravity

Entanglement entropy associated with the spatial boundary  $\Omega$  from a UV-divergent term  $\mathcal{F}$  in the gravitational effective action

$$S_{\rm ent}[\mathcal{F},\Lambda] = 2\pi \, c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim\mathcal{F}} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} \, I_1[\mathcal{F}]$$

- $I_1[\mathcal{F}]$  is a geometric invariant constructed from  $\mathcal{F}$  for the smooth spacetime metric.
- Dependence of  $S_{ent}[\mathcal{F},\Lambda]$  on  $\Lambda$  parameterizes contributions from field modes above the UV cutoff

Entanglement entropy associated with the spatial boundary  $\Omega$  from a UV-divergent term  $\mathcal{F}$  in the gravitational effective action

$$S_{\rm ent}[\mathcal{F},\Lambda] = 2\pi \, c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim\mathcal{F}} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} \, I_1[\mathcal{F}]$$

- $I_1[\mathcal{F}]$  is a geometric invariant constructed from  $\mathcal{F}$  for the smooth spacetime metric.
- Dependence of  $S_{ent}[\mathcal{F},\Lambda]$  on  $\Lambda$  parameterizes contributions from field modes above the UV cutoff
- Renormalized entanglement entropy  $S_{\text{ent}}[\mathcal{F}]$  is finite

$$S_{\text{ent}}[\mathcal{F}] = S_{\text{ent}}[\mathcal{F}, \Lambda] + 2\pi c_{\mathcal{F}0} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} I_1[\mathcal{F}]$$

Entanglement entropy associated with the spatial boundary  $\Omega$  from a UV-divergent term  $\mathcal{F}$  in the gravitational effective action

$$S_{\rm ent}[\mathcal{F},\Lambda] = 2\pi \, c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim\mathcal{F}} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} \, I_1[\mathcal{F}]$$

- $I_1[\mathcal{F}]$  is a geometric invariant constructed from  $\mathcal{F}$  for the smooth spacetime metric.
- Dependence of  $S_{ent}[\mathcal{F},\Lambda]$  on  $\Lambda$  parameterizes contributions from field modes above the UV cutoff
- Renormalized entanglement entropy  $S_{\text{ent}}[\mathcal{F}]$  is finite

$$S_{\text{ent}}[\mathcal{F}] = S_{\text{ent}}[\mathcal{F}, \Lambda] + 2\pi c_{\mathcal{F}0} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} I_1[\mathcal{F}]$$

Contribution to the entanglement entropy from the Einstein-Hilbert term

$$S_{\text{ent}}[R] = 4\pi c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} A_{\Omega} + \frac{1}{4} M_{P0}^{D-2} A_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{4} M_P^{D-2} A_{\Omega}$$
# Quantitative statement of results

Entanglement entropy associated with the spatial boundary  $\Omega$  from a UV-divergent term  $\mathcal{F}$  in the gravitational effective action

$$S_{\rm ent}[\mathcal{F},\Lambda] = 2\pi \, c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim\mathcal{F}} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} \, I_1[\mathcal{F}]$$

- $I_1[\mathcal{F}]$  is a geometric invariant constructed from  $\mathcal{F}$  for the smooth spacetime metric.
- Dependence of  $S_{ent}[\mathcal{F},\Lambda]$  on  $\Lambda$  parameterizes contributions from field modes above the UV cutoff
- Renormalized entanglement entropy  $S_{\text{ent}}[\mathcal{F}]$  is finite

$$S_{\text{ent}}[\mathcal{F}] = S_{\text{ent}}[\mathcal{F}, \Lambda] + 2\pi c_{\mathcal{F}0} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} I_1[\mathcal{F}]$$

Contribution to the entanglement entropy from the Einstein-Hilbert term

$$S_{\text{ent}}[R] = 4\pi c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} A_{\Omega} + \frac{1}{4} M_{P0}^{D-2} A_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{4} M_P^{D-2} A_{\Omega}$$

Contributions to the entanglement entropy from subleading UV-divergent terms depend on the quantum state  $|\Psi\rangle$ 

### Plan for the remainder

• Computation of the entanglement entropy

- Definition of the entanglement entropy associated with a spatial boundary in quantum field theory
- Definition of the applicable class of quantum states
- Derivation of the Callan-Wilczek formula
- Calculation of the entanglement entropy
- **2** Reconciliation with the literature
- **3** Comparison to the Wald entropy

Conclusions

Open questions

Consider a quantum field  $\Phi$  propagating on a fixed background spacetime described by the metric  ${\bf g}.$ 

Consider a quantum field  $\Phi$  propagating on a fixed background spacetime described by the metric  ${\bf g}.$ 

- Spacetime geometry
  - Choose a spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$ .
  - Let  $\phi = \Phi|_{\Sigma}$ .
  - Divide  $\Sigma$  into two regions  $\Sigma_A$  and  $\Sigma_B$  by a spatial boundary  $\Omega$ .
  - Let by  $\phi_A = \phi|_{\Sigma_A}$  and  $\phi_B = \phi|_{\Sigma_B}$ .



Consider a quantum field  $\Phi$  propagating on a fixed background spacetime described by the metric  ${\bf g}.$ 

- Spacetime geometry
  - Choose a spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$ .
  - Let  $\phi = \Phi|_{\Sigma}$ .
  - Divide  $\Sigma$  into two regions  $\Sigma_A$  and  $\Sigma_B$  by a spatial boundary  $\Omega$ .
  - Let by  $\phi_A = \phi|_{\Sigma_A}$  and  $\phi_B = \phi|_{\Sigma_B}$ .
- Quantum state
  - The quantum state on  $\Sigma$  is  $\rho = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ .
  - In the field operator basis  $\{|\phi_A \phi_B\rangle\}$

$$\rho(\phi_A, \phi_B, \phi'_A, \phi'_B) = \langle \phi'_A \phi'_B | \Psi \rangle \langle \Psi | \phi_A \phi_B \rangle$$



Consider a quantum field  $\Phi$  propagating on a fixed background spacetime described by the metric  ${\bf g}.$ 

- Spacetime geometry
  - Choose a spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$ .
  - Let  $\phi = \Phi|_{\Sigma}$ .
  - Divide  $\Sigma$  into two regions  $\Sigma_A$  and  $\Sigma_B$  by a spatial boundary  $\Omega$ .
  - Let by  $\phi_A = \phi|_{\Sigma_A}$  and  $\phi_B = \phi|_{\Sigma_B}$ .
- Quantum state
  - The quantum state on  $\Sigma$  is  $\rho = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ .
  - In the field operator basis  $\{ |\phi_A \phi_B \rangle \}$

$$\rho(\phi_A, \phi_B, \phi'_A, \phi'_B) = \langle \phi'_A \phi'_B | \Psi \rangle \langle \Psi | \phi_A \phi_B \rangle$$

- Entanglement entropy
  - The reduced quantum state on  $\Sigma_A$  is

$$\rho_A(\phi_A, \phi'_A) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_B \langle \phi'_A \phi_B | \Psi \rangle \langle \Psi | \phi_A \phi_B \rangle.$$

• The entanglement entropy is

$$S_{ ext{ent}}^{(A)} = -\int \mathcal{D}\phi_A \, 
ho_A(\phi_A,\phi_A) \ln 
ho_A(\phi_A,\phi_A).$$



Euclidean path integral for  $|\Psi\rangle$  in the field operator basis  $\{|\phi\rangle\}$  with the spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  at time  $\tau=0$ 

$$\langle \phi | \Psi \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_i \, \langle \phi | U(0, -T(1+i\epsilon)) | \phi_i \rangle = \int_{\Phi_<(\tau=0)=\phi} \mathcal{D}\Phi_< e^{-S_E[\Phi_<, \mathbf{g}]}$$

Euclidean path integral for  $|\Psi\rangle$  in the field operator basis  $\{|\phi\rangle\}$  with the spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  at time  $\tau = 0$ 

$$\langle \phi | \Psi \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_i \, \langle \phi | U(0, -T(1+i\epsilon)) | \phi_i \rangle = \int_{\Phi_<(\tau=0)=\phi} \mathcal{D}\Phi_< e^{-S_E[\Phi_<, \mathbf{g}]}$$

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi} | \phi \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_f \langle \phi_f | U(T(1+i\epsilon), 0) | \phi \rangle = \int_{\Phi_>(\tau=0)=\phi} \mathcal{D}\Phi_> e^{-S_E[\Phi_>, \mathbf{g}]}$$

Euclidean path integral for  $|\Psi\rangle$  in the field operator basis  $\{|\phi\rangle\}$  with the spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  at time  $\tau = 0$ 

$$\langle \phi | \Psi \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_i \, \langle \phi | U(0, -T(1+i\epsilon)) | \phi_i \rangle = \int_{\Phi_<(\tau=0)=\phi} \mathcal{D}\Phi_< e^{-S_E[\Phi_<, \mathbf{g}]}$$

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi} | \phi \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_f \langle \phi_f | U(T(1+i\epsilon), 0) | \phi \rangle = \int_{\Phi_>(\tau=0)=\phi} \mathcal{D}\Phi_> e^{-S_E[\Phi_>, \mathbf{g}]}$$

Requiring that  $|\Psi\rangle = |\tilde{\Psi}\rangle$  dictates

$$U(T,0) = U^{\dagger}(0,-T) = U(-T,0).$$

Holds if the spacetime metric **g** is reflection symmetric about  $\tau = 0$ 

Euclidean path integral for  $|\Psi\rangle$  in the field operator basis  $\{|\phi\rangle\}$  with the spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  at time  $\tau = 0$ 

$$\langle \phi | \Psi \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_i \, \langle \phi | U(0, -T(1+i\epsilon)) | \phi_i \rangle = \int_{\Phi_<(\tau=0)=\phi} \mathcal{D}\Phi_< e^{-S_E[\Phi_<, \mathbf{g}]}$$

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi} | \phi \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{T \to \infty} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_f \langle \phi_f | U(T(1+i\epsilon), 0) | \phi \rangle = \int_{\Phi_>(\tau=0)=\phi} \mathcal{D}\Phi_> e^{-S_E[\Phi_>, \mathbf{g}]}$$

Requiring that  $|\Psi\rangle = |\tilde{\Psi}\rangle$  dictates

$$U(T,0) = U^{\dagger}(0,-T) = U(-T,0).$$

Holds if the spacetime metric **g** is reflection symmetric about  $\tau = 0$ 

The reduced quantum state on  $\Sigma_A$  is then

$$\rho_A(\phi_A, \phi'_A) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_B \int_{\Phi(\tau=0^-)=(\phi_A, \phi_B)}^{\Phi(\tau=0^+)=(\phi'_A, \phi_B)} \mathcal{D}\Phi \, e^{-S_E[\Phi, \mathbf{g}]}$$

Consider the case of flat Euclidean spacetime.

 $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 + \mathrm{d}z^2 + \delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}\sigma^i\mathrm{d}\sigma^j$ 

- Spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  at  $\tau=0$
- Entangling surface  $\Omega$  at z = 0

Consider the case of flat Euclidean spacetime.

 $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 + \mathrm{d}z^2 + \delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}\sigma^i\mathrm{d}\sigma^j$ 

- Spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  at  $\tau=0$
- Entangling surface  $\Omega$  at z = 0

 $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}\sigma^i\mathrm{d}\sigma^j$ 

- Spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  at  $\theta=0$
- Entangling surface  $\Omega$  at r = 0



Consider the case of flat Euclidean spacetime.

 $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 + \mathrm{d}z^2 + \delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}\sigma^i\mathrm{d}\sigma^j$ 

- Spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  at  $\tau=0$
- Entangling surface  $\Omega$  at z = 0

 $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \delta_{ij}\mathrm{d}\sigma^i\mathrm{d}\sigma^j$ 

- Spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  at  $\theta=0$
- Entangling surface  $\Omega$  at r = 0

Reduced quantum state on  $\Sigma_A$ 



$$\rho_A(\phi_A, \phi'_A) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_B \int_{\Phi(\theta=0)=(\phi_A, \phi_B)}^{\Phi(\theta=2\pi)=(\phi'_A, \phi_B)} \mathcal{D}\Phi \, e^{-S_E[\Phi, \mathbf{g}]}$$

• Integrate over complete field configurations on a sequence of half planes around  $\Omega$ , each a hypersurface of constant  $\theta$ 

$$\rho_A(\phi_A, \phi'_A) \propto e^{-2\pi K(\phi_A, \phi'_A)}$$

• Hamiltonian K associated with evolution in Euclidean time coordinate  $\theta$ 

Entanglement entropy of the normalized reduced quantum state

$$S_{\rm ent} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \frac{{\rm d}}{{\rm d}\epsilon} + 1 \right) \ln {\rm Tr} \rho_A^{1-\epsilon} = \ln {\rm Tr} \rho_A - \frac{{\rm Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A)}{{\rm Tr} \rho_A}$$

Entanglement entropy of the normalized reduced quantum state

$$S_{\text{ent}} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon} + 1 \right) \ln \mathrm{Tr} \rho_A^{1-\epsilon} = \ln \mathrm{Tr} \rho_A - \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A)}{\mathrm{Tr} \rho_A}$$

 $\operatorname{Tr} \rho_A^{1-\epsilon}$  is given by a Euclidean path integral with  $\Phi$  identified at  $\theta = 0$ and  $\theta = 2\pi(1-\epsilon)$ .

The spacetime metric **g** has a conical singularity at r = 0 with deficit angle  $\delta = 2\pi\epsilon$ .

$$\operatorname{Tr} \rho_A^{1-\epsilon} = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-(2\pi-\delta)K}$$
$$= \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \, e^{-S_E^{(\delta)}[\Phi, \mathbf{g}]}$$
$$= e^{-W_{\delta}}$$



Entanglement entropy of the normalized reduced quantum state

$$S_{\text{ent}} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon} + 1 \right) \ln \mathrm{Tr} \rho_A^{1-\epsilon} = \ln \mathrm{Tr} \rho_A - \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A)}{\mathrm{Tr} \rho_A}$$

 $\operatorname{Tr} \rho_A^{1-\epsilon}$  is given by a Euclidean path integral with  $\Phi$  identified at  $\theta = 0$ and  $\theta = 2\pi(1-\epsilon)$ .

The spacetime metric **g** has a conical singularity at r = 0 with deficit angle  $\delta = 2\pi\epsilon$ .

$$\operatorname{Tr} \rho_A^{1-\epsilon} = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-(2\pi-\delta)K}$$
$$= \int \mathcal{D}\Phi \, e^{-S_E^{(\delta)}[\Phi, \mathbf{g}]}$$
$$= e^{-W_{\delta}}$$



Entanglement entropy of the reduced quantum state

$$S_{\text{ent}} = -\lim_{\delta \to 0} \left( 2\pi \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\delta} + 1 \right) W_{\delta}$$

Preceding derivation generalizes to a class of Euclidean spacetime metrics

$$ds^{2} = dr^{2} + \alpha^{2}(r,\sigma)d\theta^{2} + \gamma_{ij}(r,\sigma)d\sigma^{i}d\sigma^{j}$$
  
$$\alpha|_{r=0} = 0, \quad \partial_{r}\alpha|_{r=0} = 1, \quad \partial_{r}^{m}\alpha|_{r=0} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad m = 2, 4, 6, \dots$$
  
$$\partial_{i}^{n}\alpha|_{r=0} = 0, \quad \partial_{r}^{n}\gamma_{ij}|_{r=0} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$$

Preceding derivation generalizes to a class of Euclidean spacetime metrics

$$ds^{2} = dr^{2} + \alpha^{2}(r,\sigma)d\theta^{2} + \gamma_{ij}(r,\sigma)d\sigma^{i}d\sigma^{j}$$
$$\alpha|_{r=0} = 0, \quad \partial_{r}\alpha|_{r=0} = 1, \quad \partial_{r}^{m}\alpha|_{r=0} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad m = 2, 4, 6, \dots$$

$$\partial_i^n \alpha|_{r=0} = 0, \quad \partial_r^n \gamma_{ij}|_{r=0} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$$

- Reflection symmetry in  $\theta$  about  $\theta = 0$
- Rotational symmetry in  $\theta$  about  $\Omega$
- Otherwise arbitrary geometry for spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  expressed in Gaussian normal coordinates

$$\mathrm{d} s_{\Sigma}^2 = \mathrm{d} r^2 + \gamma_{ij}(r,\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma^i \mathrm{d} \sigma^j$$

- Otherwise arbitrary geometry for the entangling surface  $\Omega$ 

Preceding derivation generalizes to a class of Euclidean spacetime metrics

$$ds^{2} = dr^{2} + \alpha^{2}(r,\sigma)d\theta^{2} + \gamma_{ij}(r,\sigma)d\sigma^{i}d\sigma^{j}$$
  
=0 = 0,  $\partial_{r}\alpha|_{r=0} = 1$ ,  $\partial_{r}^{m}\alpha|_{r=0} = 0$  for  $m = 2, 4, 6, \dots$ 

$$\partial_i^n \alpha|_{r=0} = 0, \quad \partial_r^n \gamma_{ij}|_{r=0} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$$

- Reflection symmetry in  $\theta$  about  $\theta = 0$
- Rotational symmetry in  $\theta$  about  $\Omega$

 $\alpha|_r$ 

• Otherwise arbitrary geometry for spacelike hypersurface  $\Sigma$  expressed in Gaussian normal coordinates

$$\mathrm{d} s_{\Sigma}^2 = \mathrm{d} r^2 + \gamma_{ij}(r,\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma^i \mathrm{d} \sigma^j$$

- Otherwise arbitrary geometry for the entangling surface  $\Omega$ 

Euclidean spacetimes correspond to Lorentzian spacetimes possessing a bifurcate Killing horizon with bifurcation surface  $\Omega$ 

- Reflection symmetry in  $\theta$  corresponds to time reflection symmetry about  $\Sigma$
- Rotational symmetry in  $\theta$  corresponds to boost invariance of  $\Omega$

The Hamiltonian K generating evolution in  $\theta$  is singular at r = 0, so we require a regularization of the conical singularity of general spacetime metric.

The Hamiltonian K generating evolution in  $\theta$  is singular at r = 0, so we require a regularization of the conical singularity of general spacetime metric.

• Smooth metric

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}r^2 + \alpha^2(r,\sigma)\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \gamma_{ij}(r,\sigma)\mathrm{d}\sigma^i\mathrm{d}\sigma^j$$

The Hamiltonian K generating evolution in  $\theta$  is singular at r = 0, so we require a regularization of the conical singularity of general spacetime metric.

• Smooth metric

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}r^2 + \alpha^2(r,\sigma)\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \gamma_{ij}(r,\sigma)\mathrm{d}\sigma^i\mathrm{d}\sigma^j$$

• Regulated conically singular metric

$$d\tilde{s}^{2} = dr^{2} + \tilde{\alpha}^{2}(r,\sigma)d\theta^{2} + \gamma_{ij}(r,\sigma)d\sigma^{i}d\sigma^{j}$$
$$\tilde{\alpha}(r,\sigma) = \alpha(r,\sigma)[1 - \epsilon f(r,l)] \text{ for } l \ll \frac{1}{\Lambda}$$

The Hamiltonian K generating evolution in  $\theta$  is singular at r = 0, so we require a regularization of the conical singularity of general spacetime metric.

• Smooth metric

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}r^2 + \alpha^2(r,\sigma)\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \gamma_{ij}(r,\sigma)\mathrm{d}\sigma^i\mathrm{d}\sigma^j$$

• Regulated conically singular metric

$$d\tilde{s}^{2} = dr^{2} + \tilde{\alpha}^{2}(r,\sigma)d\theta^{2} + \gamma_{ij}(r,\sigma)d\sigma^{i}d\sigma^{j}$$
$$\tilde{\alpha}(r,\sigma) = \alpha(r,\sigma)[1 - \epsilon f(r,l)] \text{ for } l \ll \frac{1}{\Lambda}$$

Compute the entanglement entropy via the Callan-Wilczek formula for the regulated metric

- Take  $l \to 0$  with  $\Lambda$  fixed
- Only  $O(\epsilon^0)$  and  $O(\epsilon^1)$  terms in the gravitational effective action are well defined as  $l\to 0$
- Callan-Wilczek formula only requires  $O(\epsilon^1)$  terms

Regulated gravitational effective action for conically singular spacetime metric

$$\tilde{W}_{\delta} = \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \left[ c_{0} \Lambda^{D} + c_{2} \Lambda^{D-2} \tilde{R} + \cdots \right] \\ + \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \left[ \bar{c}_{0} \Lambda^{D-2} + \bar{c}_{2} \Lambda^{D-4} \tilde{R}(\tilde{\gamma}) + \cdots \right]$$

Regulated gravitational effective action for conically singular spacetime metric

$$\begin{split} \tilde{W}_{\delta} &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \left[ c_{0} \Lambda^{D} + c_{2} \Lambda^{D-2} \tilde{R} + \cdots \right] \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \left[ \bar{c}_{0} \Lambda^{D-2} + \bar{c}_{2} \Lambda^{D-4} \tilde{R}(\tilde{\gamma}) + \cdots \right] \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \sum c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} + \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \sum \bar{c}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \Lambda^{D-2-\dim \bar{\mathcal{F}}} \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \end{split}$$

Regulated gravitational effective action for conically singular spacetime metric

$$\begin{split} \tilde{W}_{\delta} &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \left[ c_{0} \Lambda^{D} + c_{2} \Lambda^{D-2} \tilde{R} + \cdots \right] \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \left[ \bar{c}_{0} \Lambda^{D-2} + \bar{c}_{2} \Lambda^{D-4} \tilde{R}(\tilde{\gamma}) + \cdots \right] \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \sum c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} + \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \sum \bar{c}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \Lambda^{D-2-\dim \bar{\mathcal{F}}} \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \end{split}$$

$$S_{\text{ent}}[\Lambda] = -\lim_{l \to 0} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon} + 1 \right) \tilde{W}_{\delta}$$

Regulated gravitational effective action for conically singular spacetime metric

$$\begin{split} \tilde{W}_{\delta} &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \left[ c_{0} \Lambda^{D} + c_{2} \Lambda^{D-2} \tilde{R} + \cdots \right] \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \left[ \bar{c}_{0} \Lambda^{D-2} + \bar{c}_{2} \Lambda^{D-4} \tilde{R}(\tilde{\gamma}) + \cdots \right] \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \sum c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} + \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \sum \bar{c}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \Lambda^{D-2-\dim \bar{\mathcal{F}}} \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \end{split}$$

$$S_{\text{ent}}[\Lambda] = -\lim_{l \to 0} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon} + 1\right) \tilde{W}_{\delta}$$
$$= -\lim_{l \to 0} \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{g} \sum c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\epsilon^{1})}$$

Regulated gravitational effective action for conically singular spacetime metric

$$\begin{split} \tilde{W}_{\delta} &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \left[ c_{0} \Lambda^{D} + c_{2} \Lambda^{D-2} \tilde{R} + \cdots \right] \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \left[ \bar{c}_{0} \Lambda^{D-2} + \bar{c}_{2} \Lambda^{D-4} \tilde{R}(\tilde{\gamma}) + \cdots \right] \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \sum c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} + \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \sum \bar{c}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \Lambda^{D-2-\dim \bar{\mathcal{F}}} \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \end{split}$$

$$S_{\text{ent}}[\Lambda] = -\lim_{l \to 0} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon} + 1 \right) \tilde{W}_{\delta}$$
$$= -\lim_{l \to 0} \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{g} \sum c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\epsilon^{1})}$$
$$= 2\pi \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} \sum c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim \mathcal{F}} I_{1}[\mathcal{F}]$$

Regulated gravitational effective action for conically singular spacetime metric

$$\begin{split} \tilde{W}_{\delta} &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \left[ c_{0} \Lambda^{D} + c_{2} \Lambda^{D-2} \tilde{R} + \cdots \right] \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \left[ \bar{c}_{0} \Lambda^{D-2} + \bar{c}_{2} \Lambda^{D-4} \tilde{R}(\tilde{\gamma}) + \cdots \right] \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \sum c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}} + \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}} \sum \bar{c}_{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \Lambda^{D-2-\dim \bar{\mathcal{F}}} \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \end{split}$$

$$S_{\text{ent}}[\Lambda] = -\lim_{l \to 0} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\epsilon} + 1 \right) \tilde{W}_{\delta}$$
$$= -\lim_{l \to 0} \int \mathrm{d}^{D} x \sqrt{g} \sum c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim \mathcal{F}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\epsilon^{1})}$$
$$= 2\pi \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} y \sqrt{\gamma} \sum c_{\mathcal{F}} \Lambda^{D-\dim \mathcal{F}} I_{1}[\mathcal{F}]$$

- Entanglement entropy determined by  $O(\epsilon^1)$  piece  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\epsilon^1)}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$
- UV divergences on the entangling surface  $\Omega$  do not contribute
- Geometric invariant  $I_1[\mathcal{F}]$  constructed from  $O(\epsilon^0)$  piece  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{(\epsilon^0)}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$
Einstein-Hilbert term in the gravitational effective action

$$W = \int \mathrm{d}^D x \sqrt{g} \, c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} R$$

Einstein-Hilbert term in the gravitational effective action

$$W = \int \mathrm{d}^D x \sqrt{g} \, c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} R$$

Entanglement entropy from the Einstein-Hilbert term

$$S_{\rm ent}[R,\Lambda] = 2\pi \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} \sigma \sqrt{\gamma} \, 2c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} = 4\pi c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} A_{\Omega}$$

Einstein-Hilbert term in the gravitational effective action

$$W = \int \mathrm{d}^D x \sqrt{g} \, c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} R$$

Entanglement entropy from the Einstein-Hilbert term

$$S_{\rm ent}[R,\Lambda] = 2\pi \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} \sigma \sqrt{\gamma} \, 2c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} = 4\pi c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} A_{\Omega}$$

Counterterm for the Einstein-Hilbert term

$$W_{CT} = \int \mathrm{d}^D x \sqrt{g} \, \frac{M_{P0}^{D-2}}{16\pi} R$$

Einstein-Hilbert term in the gravitational effective action

$$W = \int \mathrm{d}^D x \sqrt{g} \, c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} R$$

Entanglement entropy from the Einstein-Hilbert term

$$S_{\rm ent}[R,\Lambda] = 2\pi \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} \sigma \sqrt{\gamma} \, 2c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} = 4\pi c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} A_{\Omega}$$

Counterterm for the Einstein-Hilbert term

$$W_{CT} = \int \mathrm{d}^D x \sqrt{g} \, \frac{M_{P0}^{D-2}}{16\pi} R$$

Renormalized entanglement entropy from the Einstein-Hilbert term

$$S_{\text{ent}}[R] = 4\pi c_2 \Lambda^{D-2} A_{\Omega} + \frac{1}{4} M_{P0}^{D-2} A_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{4} M_P^{D-2} A_{\Omega}$$

Renormalized Planck mass

$$M_P^{D-2} = M_{P0}^{D-2} + 16\pi c_2 \Lambda^{D-2}$$

4-derivative terms in the gravitational effective action

$$W = \int d^{D}x \sqrt{g} \left[ \dots + c_{4,1} \Lambda^{D-4} R^{2} + c_{4,2} \Lambda^{D-4} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + c_{4,3} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \dots \right]$$

4-derivative terms in the gravitational effective action

$$W = \int d^D x \sqrt{g} \left[ \dots + c_{4,1} \Lambda^{D-4} R^2 + c_{4,2} \Lambda^{D-4} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + c_{4,3} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \dots \right]$$

Entanglement entropy contributions

$$S_{\text{ent}}[R^{2},\Lambda] = -2\pi c_{4,1}\Lambda^{D-4} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma} \, 8 \left[\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime} + \gamma^{ij}\gamma^{\prime\prime}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}R(\gamma)\right]$$
$$S_{\text{ent}}[R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu},\Lambda] = -2\pi c_{4,2}\Lambda^{D-4} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma} \, 2 \left[2\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime} + \gamma^{ij}\gamma^{\prime\prime}_{ij}\right]$$
$$S_{\text{ent}}[R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},\Lambda] = -2\pi c_{4,3}\Lambda^{D-4} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma} \, 8\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime}$$

4-derivative terms in the gravitational effective action

$$W = \int d^D x \sqrt{g} \left[ \dots + c_{4,1} \Lambda^{D-4} R^2 + c_{4,2} \Lambda^{D-4} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + c_{4,3} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \dots \right]$$

Entanglement entropy contributions

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{ent}}[R^2,\Lambda] &= -2\pi c_{4,1}\Lambda^{D-4}\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma}\,8\left[\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime}+\gamma^{ij}\gamma^{\prime\prime}_{ij}-\frac{1}{2}R(\gamma)\right]\\ S_{\text{ent}}[R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu},\Lambda] &= -2\pi c_{4,2}\Lambda^{D-4}\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma}\,2\left[2\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime}+\gamma^{ij}\gamma^{\prime\prime}_{ij}\right]\\ S_{\text{ent}}[R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},\Lambda] &= -2\pi c_{4,3}\Lambda^{D-4}\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma}\,8\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime} \end{split}$$

Checks

- Agreement with work of Fursaev and Solodukhin [Fursaev and Solodukhin 1995]
- Euler density  $E_4$  in D = 4 spacetime dimensions

4-derivative terms in the gravitational effective action

$$W = \int d^D x \sqrt{g} \left[ \dots + c_{4,1} \Lambda^{D-4} R^2 + c_{4,2} \Lambda^{D-4} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + c_{4,3} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \dots \right]$$

Entanglement entropy contributions

$$\begin{split} S_{\text{ent}}[R^2,\Lambda] &= -2\pi c_{4,1}\Lambda^{D-4}\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma}\,8\left[\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime}+\gamma^{ij}\gamma^{\prime\prime}_{ij}-\frac{1}{2}R(\gamma)\right]\\ S_{\text{ent}}[R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu},\Lambda] &= -2\pi c_{4,2}\Lambda^{D-4}\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma}\,2\left[2\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime}+\gamma^{ij}\gamma^{\prime\prime}_{ij}\right]\\ S_{\text{ent}}[R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},\Lambda] &= -2\pi c_{4,3}\Lambda^{D-4}\int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2}\sigma\sqrt{\gamma}\,8\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime} \end{split}$$

Checks

- Agreement with work of Fursaev and Solodukhin [Fursaev and Solodukhin 1995]
- Euler density  $E_4$  in D = 4 spacetime dimensions

Dependence on the quantum state of subleading UV-divergent contributions to the entanglement entropy

Susskind and Uglum conjectured that the UV divergence of the area term in the entanglement entropy can be absorbed in the renormalization of  $M_P$ . [Susskind and Uglum 1994]

Susskind and Uglum conjectured that the UV divergence of the area term in the entanglement entropy can be absorbed in the renormalization of  $M_P$ . [Susskind and Uglum 1994]

Checks of the Susskind-Uglum conjecture at one loop

- Spin 0 (minimally coupled): Confirmed [Susskind and Uglum 1994], etc.
- Spin 0 (nonminimally coupled): Disagreement [Fursaev 1995], etc.
- Spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ : Confirmed [Kabat 1995], etc.
- Spin 1: Disagreement [Kabat 1995], etc.
- Spin  $\frac{3}{2}$ : Confirmed [Fursaev and Miele 1997]
- Spin 2: Possibly but inconclusively confirmed [Iellici and Moretti 1996], [Fursaev and Miele 1997]

Susskind and Uglum conjectured that the UV divergence of the area term in the entanglement entropy can be absorbed in the renormalization of  $M_P$ . [Susskind and Uglum 1994]

Checks of the Susskind-Uglum conjecture at one loop

- Spin 0 (minimally coupled): Confirmed [Susskind and Uglum 1994], etc.
- Spin 0 (nonminimally coupled): Disagreement [Fursaev 1995], etc.
- Spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ : Confirmed [Kabat 1995], etc.
- Spin 1: Disagreement [Kabat 1995], etc.
- Spin  $\frac{3}{2}$ : Confirmed [Fursaev and Miele 1997]
- Spin 2: Possibly but inconclusively confirmed [Iellici and Moretti 1996], [Fursaev and Miele 1997]

Resolution

- Only renormalized entanglement entropy is physically meaningful, not UV-divergent pieces
- Susskind-Uglum conjecture holds for leading and subleading UV-divergent terms in the entanglement entropy to all orders in perturbation theory

Wald entropy for a classical metric theory of gravity

$$S_W = 2\pi \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} \sigma \sqrt{\gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} - \nabla_{\tau} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} + \cdots \right] \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma}$$

• Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \ldots)$ 

Wald entropy for a classical metric theory of gravity

$$S_W = 2\pi \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} \sigma \sqrt{\gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} - \nabla_{\tau} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} + \cdots \right] \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma}$$

- Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \ldots)$
- Equations of motion assumed to hold
- Applicable to asymptotically flat spacetimes possessing a bifurcate Killing horizon
- Classical thermodynamic entropy

Wald entropy for a classical metric theory of gravity

$$S_W = 2\pi \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} \sigma \sqrt{\gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} - \nabla_{\tau} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} + \cdots \right] \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma}$$

- Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \ldots)$
- Equations of motion assumed to hold
- Applicable to asymptotically flat spacetimes possessing a bifurcate Killing horizon
- Classical thermodynamic entropy

Relation of Wald entropy to the renormalized entanglement entropy

• Equivalent for  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma})$  [Jacobson *et al* 1995], [Fursaev and Solodukhin 1995]

Wald entropy for a classical metric theory of gravity

$$S_W = 2\pi \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} \sigma \sqrt{\gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} - \nabla_{\tau} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} + \cdots \right] \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma}$$

- Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \ldots)$
- Equations of motion assumed to hold
- Applicable to asymptotically flat spacetimes possessing a bifurcate Killing horizon
- Classical thermodynamic entropy

Relation of Wald entropy to the renormalized entanglement entropy

- Equivalent for  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma})$  [Jacobson *et al* 1995], [Fursaev and Solodukhin 1995]
- Check of equivalence for  $\Delta \mathcal{L} = \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \nabla^{\tau} R^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}$

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}r^2 + \alpha^2(r,\sigma)\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \chi^2(r,\sigma)\mathrm{d}\Omega_2^2$$

$$S_W[\nabla_\tau R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\nabla^\tau R^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}] = S_{\text{ent}}[\nabla_\tau R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\nabla^\tau R^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}] - 2\pi \int_\Omega \mathrm{d}^2\sigma\sqrt{\gamma}\,8\Box^{(\chi)}\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime}$$

Wald entropy for a classical metric theory of gravity

$$S_W = 2\pi \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{D-2} \sigma \sqrt{\gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} - \nabla_{\tau} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} + \cdots \right] \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma}$$

- Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \ldots)$
- Equations of motion assumed to hold
- Applicable to asymptotically flat spacetimes possessing a bifurcate Killing horizon
- Classical thermodynamic entropy

Relation of Wald entropy to the renormalized entanglement entropy

- Equivalent for  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(g_{\mu\nu}, R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma})$  [Jacobson *et al* 1995], [Fursaev and Solodukhin 1995]
- Check of equivalence for  $\Delta \mathcal{L} = \nabla_{\tau} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \nabla^{\tau} R^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}$

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}r^2 + \alpha^2(r,\sigma)\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \chi^2(r,\sigma)\mathrm{d}\Omega_2^2$$

 $S_W[\nabla_\tau R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\nabla^\tau R^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}] = S_{\rm ent}[\nabla_\tau R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\nabla^\tau R^{\mu\nu\rho\tau}] - 2\pi \int_\Omega \mathrm{d}^2\sigma\sqrt{\gamma}\,8\Box^{(\chi)}\alpha^{\prime\prime\prime}$ 

• Issue of spherically symmetry solutions for higher dimension terms

How does one compute the entanglement entropy associated with a spatial boundary that partitions a system into two subsystems at a fixed time?

How does one compute the entanglement entropy associated with a spatial boundary that partitions a system into two subsystems at a fixed time?

• For a certain class of quantum states, the Callan-Wilczek formula provides a geometric definition of this entanglement entropy for an arbitrary quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime.

How does one compute the entanglement entropy associated with a spatial boundary that partitions a system into two subsystems at a fixed time?

- For a certain class of quantum states, the Callan-Wilczek formula provides a geometric definition of this entanglement entropy for an arbitrary quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime.
- The UV-divergent terms in the entanglement entropy arise from the UV-divergent terms in the gravitational effective action in a one-to-one correspondence.

How does one compute the entanglement entropy associated with a spatial boundary that partitions a system into two subsystems at a fixed time?

- For a certain class of quantum states, the Callan-Wilczek formula provides a geometric definition of this entanglement entropy for an arbitrary quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime.
- The UV-divergent terms in the entanglement entropy arise from the UV-divergent terms in the gravitational effective action in a one-to-one correspondence.
- The entanglement entropy is rendered UV-finite by precisely the counterterms that render the gravitational effective action UV-finite to all orders in perturbation theory.

• How do we give a completely general geometric definition of entanglement entropy in quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime?

- How do we give a completely general geometric definition of entanglement entropy in quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime?
  - How do we treat general quantum states of the fields?
    - How do we treat spacetimes that do not possess a bifurcate Killing horizon with boost invariant bifurcation surface?
    - How do we allow for the entangling surface to have extrinsic curvature?
  - How do we allow for quantum fluctuations of gravity?

- How do we give a completely general geometric definition of entanglement entropy in quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime?
  - How do we treat general quantum states of the fields?
    - How do we treat spacetimes that do not possess a bifurcate Killing horizon with boost invariant bifurcation surface?
    - How do we allow for the entangling surface to have extrinsic curvature?
  - How do we allow for quantum fluctuations of gravity?

2 How is entanglement entropy related to Wald entropy in general?

• Is the entanglement entropy always a thermodynamical quantity?

- How do we give a completely general geometric definition of entanglement entropy in quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime?
  - How do we treat general quantum states of the fields?
    - How do we treat spacetimes that do not possess a bifurcate Killing horizon with boost invariant bifurcation surface?
    - How do we allow for the entangling surface to have extrinsic curvature?
  - How do we allow for quantum fluctuations of gravity?

2 How is entanglement entropy related to Wald entropy in general?

- Is the entanglement entropy always a thermodynamical quantity?
- Is entanglement entropy a well-defined quantity within a quantum theory of gravity?

- How do we give a completely general geometric definition of entanglement entropy in quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime?
  - How do we treat general quantum states of the fields?
    - How do we treat spacetimes that do not possess a bifurcate Killing horizon with boost invariant bifurcation surface?
    - How do we allow for the entangling surface to have extrinsic curvature?
  - How do we allow for quantum fluctuations of gravity?
- 2 How is entanglement entropy related to Wald entropy in general?
  - Is the entanglement entropy always a thermodynamical quantity?
- Is entanglement entropy a well-defined quantity within a quantum theory of gravity?
- Is black hole entropy equivalent to entanglement entropy?