

Gravitational Interactions of Higher-Spin Fermions

Rakibur Rahman

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium



UNIVERSITÉ
LIBRE
DE BRUXELLES

November 18, 2013

Dept. of Physics, UC Davis

- Gravitational Interactions of Higher-Spin Fermions,
G. Lucena Gómez, M. Henneaux and RR,
[arXiv:1310.5152 \[hep-th\]](#).
- Higher-Spin Fermionic Gauge Fields and Their Electromagnetic Coupling,
G. Lucena Gómez, M. Henneaux and RR,
[JHEP 1208, 093 \(2012\) \[arXiv:1206.1048 \[hep-th\]\]](#).
- Consistent Couplings between Fields with a Gauge Freedom and
Deformations of the Master Equation,
G. Barnich and M. Henneaux,
[Phys. Lett. B 311, 123 \(1993\) \[hep-th/9304057\]](#).

Motivations

- Fermions are required by supersymmetry and their interactions could be qualitatively different from those of bosons.
- We will consider the coupling of an arbitrary-spin massless fermion to a spin-2 gauge field, in **flat spacetime with $D \geq 4$** .
- The latter cannot be the ordinary graviton that obeys principle of equivalence, since **no-go theorems** prohibit, in flat spacetime, minimal coupling to gravity for $s \geq 5/2$.
- Non-minimal couplings containing more derivatives do exist.

- We view our flat space construction as a step towards that in AdS, where gravitational coupling of higher spins makes sense.
- The ultimate goal is a standard action for the Vasiliev system.
- We use the **BRST-BV cohomological methods**, which could also be employed for AdS via the ambient space formulation.
- Search for consistent interactions becomes very **systematic**.
- Any nontrivial consistent interaction must be noticed. Explicit **off-shell covariant vertices** are natural output.
- **Higher-order consistency** of vertices can be checked easily.

Results

- Cohomological elimination of **minimal coupling** for $s \geq 5/2$.
- Number of **derivatives** in a cubic **2-s-s** vertex is restricted.
- 5 allowed values: $2n-2$, $2n-1$, $2n$, $2n+1$, $2n+2$ for $s = n+1/2$.
- Explicit construction of **off-shell cubic vertices** for arbitrary spin and presenting them in a very neat form.

1.	Non-Abelian	$(2n-2)$ -derivative	$D \geq 4$
2.	Non-Abelian	$(2n-1)$ -derivative	$D \geq 5$
3.	Abelian	$2n$ -derivative	$D \geq 5$
4.	Abelian	$(2n+1)$ -derivative	$D \geq 5$
5.	Abelian	$(2n+2)$ -derivative	$D \geq 4$

- Generic **obstruction** for the non-Abelian cubic vertices.

Table 1: Prototypical Example of $2 - \frac{5}{2} - \frac{5}{2}$ Vertices with p Derivatives

p	Vertex
2	$i\bar{\psi}_{\mu\alpha}R^{+\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\psi_{\nu\beta} + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}_{\mu}R^{\mu\nu}\psi_{\nu} + \frac{i}{4}h_{\mu\nu}\bar{\Psi}_{\rho\sigma\ \lambda}\gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta,\nu\lambda\gamma}\psi_{\alpha\beta\ \gamma}$
3	$i\bar{\Psi}_{\mu\nu\ \rho}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\rho\sigma\ \lambda}^+\gamma^{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \gamma^{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\mathfrak{h}_{\rho\sigma\ \lambda}^+\right)\psi_{\alpha\beta\ \sigma}$
4	$ih_{\mu\nu}\bar{\Psi}_{\rho\sigma \tau\lambda}\gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta,\nu\tau\gamma}\Psi_{\alpha\beta \gamma}{}^{\lambda}$
5	$i\mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu\ \lambda}\bar{\Psi}^{\mu\tau }_{\rho\sigma}\gamma^{\lambda\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}\Psi^{\nu}{}_{\tau \alpha\beta}$
6	$iR_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\bar{\Psi}^{\rho\sigma \alpha\beta}\Psi_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu\nu}$

Outline

- Gravitational coupling of massless spin $5/2$: nontrivial!
- **Cohomological reformulation** of the free gauge system in order to employ the **BRST deformation scheme** to construct consistent parity-preserving covariant cubic vertices.
- Generalization to **arbitrary spin, $s = n+1/2$** , coupled to gravity.
This generalization is surprisingly easy!
- Second-order deformations and issues with locality.
- Concluding remarks.

Prototypical Example:

Massless Spin-5/2 Field

Coupled to Massless Spin 2

Step 0: Free Gauge Theory

- Free theory contains a graviton $h_{\mu\nu}$ and a massless spin-5/2 field—symmetric rank-2 tensor-spinor $\psi_{\mu\nu}$. The action reads:

$$S^{(0)}[h_{\mu\nu}, \psi_{\mu\nu}] = \int d^D x \left[G^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\mu\nu} \psi_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\psi}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu} - \gamma^{(\mu} \mathcal{S}^{\nu)} - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{S}', \quad \mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu} = i [\not{\partial} \psi_{\mu\nu} - 2\partial_{(\mu} \psi_{\nu)}]$$

- It enjoys two Abelian the gauge invariances:

$$\delta_\lambda h_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{(\mu} \lambda_{\nu)}, \quad \delta_\varepsilon \psi_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{(\mu} \varepsilon_{\nu)}, \quad \text{with } \not{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

- Bosonic gauge parameter: λ_μ** , fermionic gauge parameter: ε_μ

Step 1: Ghosts

- For each gauge parameter, we introduce a ghost field, with the same algebraic symmetries but opposite Grassmann parity:
 - Grassmann-odd bosonic ghost: C_μ
 - Grassmann-even fermionic ghost: ξ_μ
- The original fields and ghosts are collectively called fields:

$$\Phi^A = \{h_{\mu\nu}, C_\mu, \psi_{\mu\nu}, \xi_\mu\}.$$

- Introduce the grading: pure ghost number, pgn , which is
 - 1 for the ghost fields
 - 0 for the original fields

Step 2: Antifields

- One introduces, for each field and ghost, an antifield Φ_A^* , with the same algebraic symmetries but opposite Grassmann parity. Each antifield has 0 pure ghost number: $pg h(\Phi_A^*)=0$.

$$\Phi_A^* = \{h^{*\mu\nu}, C^{*\mu}, \bar{\psi}^{*\mu\nu}, \bar{\xi}^{*\mu}\}.$$

- Introduce the grading: antighost number, agh , which is 0 for the fields and non-zero for the antifields:

$$agh(\Phi_A^*) = pg h(\Phi^A) + 1$$

Step 3: Antibracket

- On the space of fields and antifields, one defines an odd symplectic structure, called **the antibracket**:

$$(X, Y) \equiv \frac{\delta^R X}{\delta \Phi^A} \frac{\delta^L Y}{\delta \Phi_A^*} - \frac{\delta^R X}{\delta \Phi_A^*} \frac{\delta^L Y}{\delta \Phi^A}.$$

- Here R and L respectively mean right and left derivatives.
- The antibracket satisfies **graded Jacobi identity**.

Step 4: Master Action

- The master action S_0 is an extension of the original action; it includes terms involving ghosts and antifields.

$$S_0 = \int d^D x \left[G^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\mu\nu} \psi_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\psi}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} \right) \right. \\ \left. - 2h^{*\mu\nu} \partial_\mu C_\nu + \left(\bar{\psi}^{*\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \xi_\nu - \partial_\mu \bar{\xi}_\nu \psi^{*\mu\nu} \right) \right]$$

- Because of Noether identities, it solves the master equation:

$$(S_0, S_0) = 0$$

- Antifields are sources for the “gauge” variations.
- Antighosts source gauge-algebra deformation and are absent.

Step 5: BRST Differential

- S_0 is the generator of the BRST differential s of the free theory

$$sX = (S_0, X)$$

- Then the free master equation means: S_0 is BRST-closed.
- Graded Jacobi identity of the antibracket gives:

$$s^2 = 0$$

- The free master action S_0 is trivial in the cohomology of s , in the local functionals of the (anti)fields and their derivatives.

- The BRST differential decomposes into two differentials:

$$s = \Gamma + \Delta$$

- Δ is the Koszul-Tate differential.
- Γ is the longitudinal derivative along the gauge orbits.
- They obey: $\Gamma^2 = \Delta^2 = 0, \Gamma \Delta + \Delta \Gamma = 0$.
- Their action on the (anti)fields are explicitly given.
- All Γ, Δ, s increase the ghost number, gh , by one unit, where

$$gh = pgh - agh$$

Step 6: Properties of Φ^A & Φ^*_A

Table 2: Properties of the Various Fields & Antifields ($n = 2$)

Z	$\Gamma(Z)$	$\Delta(Z)$	$pgh(Z)$	$agh(Z)$	$gh(Z)$	$\epsilon(Z)$
$h_{\mu\nu}$	$2\partial_{(\mu}C_{\nu)}$	0	0	0	0	0
C_μ	0	0	1	0	1	1
$h^{*\mu\nu}$	0	$G^{\mu\nu}$	0	1	-1	1
$C^{*\mu}$	0	$-2\partial_\nu h^{*\mu\nu}$	0	2	-2	0
$\psi_{\mu\nu}$	$2\partial_{(\mu}\xi_{\nu)}$	0	0	0	0	1
ξ_μ	0	0	1	0	1	0
$\bar{\psi}^{*\mu\nu}$	0	$\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\mu\nu}$	0	1	-1	0
$\bar{\xi}^{*\mu}$	0	$2\partial_\nu \bar{\chi}^{*\mu\nu}$	0	2	-2	1

An Aside: BRST Deformation Scheme

- The solution of the master equation incorporates compactly all consistency conditions pertaining to the gauge transformations.
- Any consistent deformation of the theory corresponds to:

$$S = S_0 + gS_1 + g^2S_2 + O(g^3)$$

where S also solves the master equation: $(S, S) = 0$.

- Coupling constant expansion gives, up to $O(g^2)$:

$$(S_0, S_0) = 0,$$

$$(S_0, S_1) = 0,$$

$$(S_1, S_1) = -2(S_0, S_2).$$

- The first equation is fulfilled by assumption.
- The second equation says S_1 is BRST-closed:

$$sS_1 = 0$$

- First order non-trivial consistent local deformations:

$$S_1 = \int a$$

are in 1-to-1 correspondence with elements of the cohomology of the s , modulo total derivative d , at ghost number 0.

- One has the cocycle condition:

$$sa \doteq 0.$$

- One can expand a cubic deformation in antighost number:

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_0 + \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2, \quad \mathit{agh}(\mathbf{a}_i) = i$$

- \mathbf{a}_0 is the deformation of the Lagrangian—the cubic vertex.
- \mathbf{a}_1 gives the deformations of the gauge transformations.
- \mathbf{a}_2 gives deformations of the the gauge algebra.
- The cocycle condition reduces, by $s = \Gamma + \Delta$, to a cascade

$$\Gamma a_2 \doteq 0,$$

$$\Delta a_2 + \Gamma a_1 \doteq 0,$$

$$\Delta a_1 + \Gamma a_0 \doteq 0.$$

- A cubic vertex will deform the gauge algebra (non-Abelian) if and only if \mathbf{a}_2 is nontrivial in the cohomology of Γ modulo \mathbf{d} .
- Otherwise, one can always choose $\mathbf{a}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{a}_1 = \Gamma$ -closed modulo \mathbf{d} . The vertex may deform the gauge transformations.
- If \mathbf{a}_1 is trivial, the gauge symmetry remains intact, and the vertex \mathbf{a}_0 is gauge invariant only up to a total derivative.
- Any Lagrangian deformation \mathbf{a}_0 is Δ -closed.
- But trivial interaction terms are Δ -exact modulo \mathbf{d} .

Step 7: Cohomology of Γ

- Cohomology of Γ consists of gauge-invariant objects that themselves are not gauge variation of something else.
- It is isomorphic to the space of functions of
 - The undifferentiated ghosts $\{C_\mu, \xi_\mu\}$. Also the 1-curl of the bosonic ghost $\mathfrak{C}_{\mu\nu}$ as well as the γ -traceless part of the 1-curl of the fermionic ghost $\xi_{\mu\nu}$,
 - The antifields $\{h^{*\mu\nu}, C^{*\mu}, \bar{\psi}^{*\mu\nu}, \bar{\xi}^{*\mu}\}$ and their derivatives,
 - The curvatures $\{R_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}, \Psi_{\mu\nu|\rho\lambda}\}$ and their derivatives,
 - The Fronsdal tensor $\mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu}$ and its symmetrized derivatives.

Step 8: Non-Abelian Vertices

- a_2 is Grassmann even, **parity-even**, Lorentz scalar satisfying:

$$\Gamma a_2 = 0, \quad gh(a_2) = 0, \quad agh(a_2) = 2 = pgh(a_2)$$

- The most general solutions are (for p derivatives in a_0)

$$a_2 = \begin{cases} p = 1 : & ig C^{*\mu} \bar{\xi}^\alpha \gamma_\mu \xi_\alpha \\ p = 2 : & ig C^{*\mu} \bar{\xi}_{\mu\nu} \xi^\nu + \text{h.c.} \\ p = 3 : & ig C^{*\mu} \bar{\xi}^{\alpha\beta} \gamma_\mu \xi_{\alpha\beta}. \end{cases}$$

$$a_2 = \begin{cases} p = 0 : & g \bar{\xi}^{*\mu} \gamma^\alpha \xi_\mu C_\alpha + \text{h.c.} \\ p = 1 : & g \bar{\xi}^{*\mu} (\xi^\nu \mathbf{e}_{\mu\nu} + \alpha_1 \bar{\xi}_{\mu\nu} C^\nu + \alpha_2 \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\mu \mathbf{e}_{\alpha\beta}) + \text{h.c.} \\ p = 2 : & g \bar{\xi}^{*\mu} \gamma^\alpha \xi_\mu^\beta \mathbf{e}_{\alpha\beta} + \text{h.c.}, \end{cases}$$

- Half of these candidate a_2 's are eliminated immediately since it is easy to see that they do get lifted to a_1 .

- The remaining possibilities are:

- Minimal Coupling (with $a_1 = -1$, $a_2 = 1/4$):

$$a_2 = g \bar{\xi}^{*\mu} (\xi^\nu \mathfrak{C}_{\mu\nu} + \alpha_1 \bar{\xi}_{\mu\nu} C^\nu + \alpha_2 \gamma^{\rho\sigma} \xi_\mu \mathfrak{C}_{\rho\sigma}) + \text{h.c.}$$

- Gravitational Quadrupole (with g real)

$$a_2 = [ig C^{*\mu} \bar{\xi}_{\mu\nu} \xi^\nu + \text{h.c.}] + [\tilde{g} \mathfrak{C}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\xi}_\rho^* \gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta} \xi_{\alpha\beta} + \text{h.c.}]$$

- 3-Derivative Coupling

$$a_2 = -ig C_\lambda^* \bar{\xi}_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \xi_{\alpha\beta}.$$

- **Minimal coupling is ruled out**, as the unambiguous term is

$$\hat{a}_1 = -2 \left(g \bar{\chi}^{*\mu\rho} \psi_{\mu\nu\|\rho} C^\nu + \text{h.c.} \right) + \mathcal{Y}^{\mu\nu} \mathfrak{e}_{\mu\nu} + \dots$$

$$\hat{\beta}^\mu \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta C_\mu} \Delta \hat{a}_1 = \left(2g \Delta \bar{\chi}_{\alpha\beta}^* \psi^{\mu\alpha\|\beta} + \text{h.c.} \right) + 2\Delta \partial_\nu \mathcal{Y}^{[\mu\nu]}.$$

- **The ambiguity is in the cohomology of Γ** : $\Gamma \tilde{a}_1 = 0$
- **A lift to a_0 happens if they fulfill**

$$\Delta \hat{a}_1 + \Delta \tilde{a}_1 \doteq -\Gamma a_0 \doteq C_\mu \partial_\nu \mathcal{X}^{\mu\nu} + \dots$$

- **But this cannot happen because it leads to a contradiction:**

$$\Gamma \hat{\beta}^\mu = \partial^\beta \left[2g \Delta \bar{\chi}_{\alpha\beta}^* \xi^{\alpha\mu} + \text{h.c.} \right] + \partial_\nu \left(2\Gamma \Delta \mathcal{Y}^{[\mu\nu]} \right) = \partial_\nu \left(\Gamma \mathcal{X}^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

- For the gravitational **quadrupole** interaction, one has

$$a_1 = a_{1g} + a_{1\tilde{g}} + \tilde{a}_1,$$

$$a_{1g} = ig h^{*\mu\nu} (\bar{\xi}_{\mu\lambda} \psi_\nu^\lambda + \bar{\psi}_\nu^\lambda \xi_{\mu\lambda} - 2\bar{\xi}^\lambda \psi_{\mu\lambda\|\nu} - 2\bar{\psi}_{\mu\lambda\|\nu} \xi^\lambda),$$

$$a_{1\tilde{g}} = 2\tilde{g} (\mathfrak{e}_{\mu\nu} \bar{\chi}_{\rho\sigma}^* \gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta} \psi_{\alpha\beta\|\sigma} - \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu\|\sigma} \bar{\chi}_{\rho\sigma}^* \gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\alpha\beta} \xi_{\alpha\beta}) + \text{h.c.}$$

- A lift to a_0 exists only if the couplings are real, and satisfy

$$\tilde{g} = \frac{1}{8}g$$

- And the vertex is given by

$$a_0 = ig (\bar{\psi}_{\mu\alpha} R^{+\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \psi_{\nu\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_\mu R^{\mu\nu} \psi_\nu + \frac{1}{4} h_{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}_{\rho\sigma\|\lambda} \gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta, \nu\lambda\gamma} \psi_{\alpha\beta\|\gamma})$$

- For the potential 3-derivative coupling we have

$$a_1 = -2igh_\lambda^{*\sigma} \left(\bar{\xi}_{\mu\nu} \gamma^{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \psi_{\alpha\beta\|\sigma} - \text{h.c.} \right) + \tilde{a}_1$$

- This gives rise to the vertex:

$$a_0 = ig \bar{\Psi}_{\mu\nu\|\rho} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{\rho\sigma\|\lambda}^+ \gamma^{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \gamma^{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathfrak{h}_{\rho\sigma\|\lambda}^+ \right) \psi_{\alpha\beta\|\sigma}$$

- With the ambiguity given by

$$\Delta\tilde{a}_1 = -ig R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\xi}_\lambda \gamma^{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\gamma\delta} - 2\gamma^{[\rho} \eta^{\sigma][\gamma} \gamma^{\delta]} \right) \Psi_{\alpha\beta|\gamma\delta}$$

- This vertex vanishes in $D = 4$.

To proceed, we note that we have exhausted all possible a_2 .
Any other possible vertex will not deform the gauge algebra.

Step 9: Abelian Vertices

- If a vertex comes from \mathbf{a}_1 or \mathbf{a}_0 itself, one can always choose:

$$a_0 = T^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}, \quad \Gamma T^{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

$$\partial_\nu T^{\mu\nu} = \Delta M^\mu, \quad \Gamma M^\mu = 0.$$

- The most generic form of the gauge-invariant current is:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \bar{\Psi}^M \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\mu\nu}_{MN} \Psi^N$$

- The current and the vertex contains **at least 4 derivatives**.
- If the current contains more than 6 derivatives, \mathbf{a}_0 will always be Δ -exact modulo \mathbf{d} . The vertex contains **at most 6 derivatives**.
- $T^{\mu\nu}$ can be chosen uniquely for given number of derivatives.

- The 4-derivative vertex comes with

$$T^{\mu\nu} = ig \left(\bar{\Psi}^{(\mu}{}_{\lambda|\alpha\beta} \Psi^{\nu)\lambda|\alpha\beta} + \alpha \eta^{\mu\nu} \bar{\Psi}_{\rho\sigma|\alpha\beta} \Psi^{\rho\sigma|\alpha\beta} \right)$$

- With fixed α fixed to the value of $-1/4$.

- The vertex has the following forms

$$a_0 = ig \left(h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} h' \right) \bar{\Psi}^{\mu}{}_{\lambda|\alpha\beta} \Psi^{\nu\lambda|\alpha\beta}$$

$$a_0 \approx -\frac{i}{8} g h_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Psi}_{\rho\sigma|\tau\lambda} \gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta, \nu\tau\gamma} \Psi_{\alpha\beta|\gamma}{}^{\lambda}$$

- For $D > 4$, we have an Abelian 4-derivative vertex.
- This can be made gauge invariant up to a total derivative.

- The 5-derivative vertex corresponds to

$$T^{\mu\nu} = ig \bar{\Psi}^{\rho\sigma|\alpha\beta} \gamma^{(\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\nu)} \Psi_{\rho\sigma|\alpha\beta}$$

- The vertex also takes the following form

$$a_0 \approx \frac{i}{2} g \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu||\lambda} \bar{\Psi}^{\mu\tau|}_{\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\lambda\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} \Psi^{\nu}_{\tau|\alpha\beta}$$

- It is manifest that the vertex exists in $D > 4$.
- Also, it does not deform the gauge transformations, since it is gauge invariant only up to a total derivative.

- The 6-derivative vertex has

$$T^{\mu\nu} = ig \bar{\Psi}^{\rho\sigma|\alpha\beta} \left(\vec{\partial}^{\mu} \vec{\partial}^{\nu} + \overleftarrow{\partial}^{\mu} \overleftarrow{\partial}^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu} \overleftarrow{\partial}^{\lambda} \vec{\partial}_{\lambda} \right) \Psi_{\rho\sigma|\alpha\beta}$$

- The vertex has the following 3-curvature form

$$a_0 \approx ig R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\Psi}^{\rho\sigma|\alpha\beta} \Psi_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu\nu}$$

- This form of the vertex is strictly gauge invariant.
- The vertex exists for all $D \geq 4$.

Arbitrary Spin: $s = n + 1/2$

- The sets of fields and antifields are:

$$\Phi^A = \{h_{\mu\nu}, C_\mu, \psi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}, \xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-1}}\}$$

$$\Phi_A^* = \{h^{*\mu\nu}, C^{*\mu}, \bar{\psi}^{*\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}, \bar{\xi}^{*\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-1}}\}$$

- Grassmann odd bosonic ghost field C_μ .
- Grassmann-even rank- $(n-1)$ fermionic ghost field $\xi_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-1}}$ is γ -traceless. The original fermion is triply γ -traceless:

$$\not{\xi}_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-2}} = 0, \quad \not{\psi}^{\mu_2}_{\mu_2 \mu_3 \dots \mu_n} = 0$$

- The vertices are exactly like the spin-5/2 case.
- The non-Abelian ones are

$$a_0 = ig \left[\bar{\Psi}_{\dots\|\mu\alpha}^{(n-2)} R^{+\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \Psi^{(n-2)\dots\|}_{\nu\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{\dots\|\mu}^{(n-2)} R^{\mu\nu} \Psi^{(n-2)\dots\|}_{\nu} \right] \\ + \frac{i}{4} g h_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Psi}_{\dots\rho\sigma\|\lambda}^{(n-1)} \gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta, \nu\lambda\gamma} \Psi^{(n-1)\dots}_{\alpha\beta\|\gamma}$$

$$a_0 = ig \bar{\Psi}_{\dots\mu\nu\|\rho}^{(n-1)} \left(\mathfrak{h}_{\rho\sigma\|\lambda}^+ \gamma^{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + \gamma^{\lambda\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \mathfrak{h}_{\rho\sigma\|\lambda}^+ \right) \Psi^{(n-1)\dots}_{\alpha\beta\|\sigma}$$

- The Abelian ones are

$$p = 2n : a_0 = ig \left(h_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \eta_{\mu\nu} h' \right) \bar{\Psi}^{\mu\dots} \Psi^{\nu\dots},$$

$$p = 2n + 1 : a_0 = ig h_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Psi}^{\dots} \gamma^{(\mu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^{\nu)} \Psi \dots},$$

$$p = 2n + 2 : a_0 = ig h_{\mu\nu} \bar{\Psi}^{\dots} \left(\overrightarrow{\partial}^{\mu} \overrightarrow{\partial}^{\nu} + \overleftarrow{\partial}^{\mu} \overleftarrow{\partial}^{\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu} \overleftarrow{\partial}^{\lambda} \overrightarrow{\partial}_{\lambda} \right) \Psi \dots$$

Second-Order Deformation

- Consistent 2nd-order deformation requires (S_1, S_1) be s -exact:

$$(S_1, S_1) = -2sS_2 = -2\Delta S_2 - 2\Gamma S_2.$$

- For Abelian vertices this antibracket is zero, so the first-order deformations always go unobstructed.
- Non-Abelian vertices are more interesting in this respect.
- The underlying assumptions are locality absence of other dynamical interacting degrees of freedom.

- The antibracket at zero antifields is required to satisfy:

$$[(S_1, S_1)]_{\Phi_A^*=0} = \Gamma N + \Delta M,$$

$$N \equiv -2 [S_2]_{\Phi_A^*=0} \text{ and } M \equiv -2 [S_2]_{c_\alpha^*=0}.$$

- This antibracket is simple to compute:

$$[(S_1, S_1)]_{\Phi_A^*=0} = 2 \left(\int a_0, \int a_1 \right) \equiv \int b.$$

- Then b must satisfy:

$$b \doteq \Gamma\text{-exact} + \Delta\text{-exact}$$

- It is easy to compute \mathbf{b} for 2-5/2-5/2 non-Abelian vertices

- $\mathbf{p} = 2$:

$$b = 2ig T_{\mu}^{\nu} \left(\bar{\xi}_{\mu\lambda} \psi^{\nu\lambda} + \bar{\psi}^{\nu\lambda} \xi_{\mu\lambda} - 2\bar{\xi}^{\lambda} \psi_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\nu} - 2\bar{\psi}_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\nu} \xi^{\lambda} + \Gamma j_{\mu}^{\nu} \right) + \dots$$

- $\mathbf{p} = 3$:

$$b = -4ig T_{\mu}^{\nu} \left(\bar{\xi}_{\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} \psi_{\alpha\beta}{}_{\parallel\nu} - \bar{\psi}_{\rho\sigma}{}_{\parallel\nu} \gamma^{\mu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta} \xi_{\alpha\beta} \right) + \dots$$

- \mathbf{b} 's do not have the required property.
- **Non-Abelian vertices are obstructed** beyond the cubic order.
- Additional DoF and/or **mild non-locality** remove obstruction?

Remarks

- Matching with **light-cone** results (Metsaev '07) and those from tensionless limit of open **string theory** (Sagnotti-Taronna '10).
- Cubic coupling constants related by higher order consistency.
- Connection with “good” **massive** theory (Porrati et al '94).
- Bosonic $2-s-s$ vertices (Boulanger, Leclercq, Sundell '08).
- Flat limit of Fradkin-Vasiliev vertices in (A)dS.
- **Reduction of number of vertices in AdS**, according to Metsaev [hep-th/0612279](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0612279), in contrast with the bosonic counterpart recently explored by Joung-Taronna [arXiv:1311.0242](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.0242) [hep-th].