Model-independent limits from missing energy searches

Johan Alwall, SLAC

with M.-P. Le, M. Lisanti, J. Wacker

Davis, 1 Apr 2009



Problem Statement

- We have no idea what any new physics beyond the Standard Model might look like
- Any models we come up with needs to be compared with experimental data from LHC and Tevatron
- The experimentalists have limited manpower
- How can data be communicated between experiments and theorists to allow comparison for any model, as well as providing maximum information useful to theorists?



Presenting experimental data

Twofold problem:

 How to analyze/report limits on cross sections in a way that can be compared (by theorists) with any model?

J.A., Le, Lisanti, Wacker [arXiv:0809.3264]

• How to analyze/report/characterize stable excesses over background in a way that can be compared to any model and give relevant information on the underlying physics without bias to a certain model?

J.A., Schuster, Toro [arXiv:0810.3921]



Presenting experimental data

Twofold problem:

This talk

 How to analyze/report limits on cross sections in a way that can be compared (by theorists) with any model?

J.A., Le, Lisanti, Wacker [arXiv:0809.3264]

• How to analyze/report/characterize stable excesses over background in a way that can be compared to any model and give relevant information on the underlying physics without bias to a certain model?

J.A., Schuster, Toro [arXiv:0810.3921]



Johan Alwall - Model-independent approaches at the LHC Next talk 4

- Exclusions in model space of minimal model (mSUGRA/mGMSB/mAMSB) with few (~4) parameters
- Signature-based exclusions (cross section limits given some "standard" set of cuts)
- In case of excesses: Plots of data vs. backgrounds
- In case of excesses: Scans of SUSY space (~20 param) using high-level kinematical information



- Exclusions in model space of minimal model (mSUGRA/mGMSB/mAMSB) with few (~4) parameters
- Problems:
 - Fixed relations between parameters, e.g. $m_{\tilde{g}}:m_{\tilde{W}}:m_{\tilde{B}} \sim 6:2:1$ LSP
 - Fixed decays and branching ratios
 - Not all possible parameter space covered



 Exclusions in model space of minimal model (mSUGRA/mGMSB/mAMSB) with few (~4) parameters





Johan Alwall - Model-independent approaches at the LHC

- Signature-based exclusions (cross section limits given some "standard" set of cuts)
 - Restricted in scope, reduced power
- In case of excesses: Plots of data vs. backgrounds
 - Detector deconvolution difficult/impossible
- In case of excesses: Scans of SUSY space (~20 param) using high-level kinematical information and rate information
 - Assumes SUSY, needs high-statistics data



Example: Non-unified/non-standard SUSY scenarios can have free ratio $m_{\tilde{q}}:m_{\tilde{B}}$

- $m_{\tilde{g}}:m_{\tilde{B}} \sim 1 \rightarrow gluino \ decays \ to \ 2 \ soft \ jets \ and \ LSP$
 - No hard jets, small missing transverse energy





Example: Non-unified/non-standard SUSY scenarios can have free ratio $m_{\tilde{q}}:m_{\tilde{B}}$

- $m_{\tilde{g}}:m_{\tilde{B}} \sim 1 \rightarrow$ gluino decays to 2 soft jets and LSP
 - No hard jets, small missing transverse energy
- Unclear where Tevatron is sensitive
- Difficult/impossible to find limits outside collaboration



- Example: $m_g = 210 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_B = 100 \text{ GeV}$



Standard cuts remove signal as well as background

- Example: $m_q = 210 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_B = 100 \text{ GeV}$
- Optimized cuts: $H_T > 150 \text{ GeV}, \not \in_T > 100 \text{ GeV}$





Our suggestion to experimentalists:

- Provide differential cross section limits for multiple phase space bins (in relevant variables) for mutually exclusive searches (1j+MET, 2j+MET, ...)
- Provide detector simulation and event generation chain verified to allow comparison in relevant phase space regions
- Note! Only applicable for "hard" signals, where details of detector not crucial



Our suggestion to experimentalists:

 Provide differential cross section limits for multiple phase space bins (in relevant variables) for mutually exclusive searches (1j+MET,



• Then, easy for theorists to generate the corresponding model cross sections (using generation setup) and compare, point-by-point in parameter space, to get exclusion region



- Examples:
 - Gluinos decaying to $q\overline{q}$ +LSP
 - Gluinos decaying to $q\overline{q}W+LSP$ (with $m_{\widetilde{W}}-m_{\widetilde{B}} \sim m_w$)





Conclusions

- Idea to allow theorists to find (approximate) exclusion region for any model (with missing E_T signature) based on experimental searches
 - Provide grid of differential cross sections in number of jets and different missing E_{τ} and H_{τ} cuts
 - Provide authorized detector simulation and event generation parameters
- Can be easily and naturally extended to any high-p_ signatures



Backup slides



Johan Alwall - Model-independent approaches at the LHC

Searches at the Tevatron

	$1j + \not\!\!E_T$	$2j + \not\!\!E_T$	$3j + \not\!\!E_T$	$4^+j + \not\!\!E_T$
$E_{T j_1}$	≥ 150	≥ 35	≥ 35	≥ 35
$E_{T j_2}$	< 35	≥ 35	≥ 35	≥ 35
$E_{T j_3}$	< 35	< 35	≥ 35	≥ 35
$E_{T j_4}$	< 20	< 20	< 20	≥ 20

two hardest jets $|\eta| \le 0.8$ other jets $|\eta| \le 2.5$



Statistics

$$\langle S^{\text{excl}}(B) \rangle = \sum_{N_m=0}^{\infty} S^{\text{excl}}(N_m, B) \frac{e^{-B} B^{N_m}}{N_m!}$$
$$\lim_{B \to \infty} \langle S^{\text{excl}}(B) \rangle = \sqrt{B}.$$
$$\lim_{B \to 0} \langle S^{\text{excl}}(B) \rangle = -\ln(0.16) \approx 1.8$$
$$\chi_N^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{S_j^2}{(\text{SL}_j)^2 + (\epsilon_{\text{sys}} \times B_j)^2} \times \frac{1}{N}.$$

 Include only measurements with expected significance > S^{crit} (e.g. 0.5)

